

**IN THE COURT OF DISTT. & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-XXI, PATNA.**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 939 of 2026**

**Pinki Kumari**

**.....Petitioner**

**V/s**

**1.State of Bihar**

**2. AIIMS Patna**

**.....Opposite Parties**

**( Phulwari Sharif P.S.Case No. 34 of 2026)**

**ORDER**

**11.03.2026**

1. Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely, **Pinki Kumari** who is apprehending her arrest in connection with **Phulwari Sharif P.S.Case No. 34 of 2026** registered under section-303(2), 316(5), & 318(4) which is pending in the court of Ld. A.C.J.M.IV, Patna has been moved by her learned counsel. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as Ld. Counsel of the informant appeared. Case diary is available on the record.

2. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that informant filed typed complaint on 07.01.2026 before SHO Phulwari Sharif, alleging therein that he received a report from the Finance and Audit Officer that amount of Rs. 44,50,000/- has been defalcated during the period between 01.04.2025 till 31.12.2025. On the basis of above-mentioned report and financial irregularities, a team was constituted of the Officers of the Finance and Audit Department and physical verification was conducted in the presence of security personnel. Husband of the petitioner (Anurag Aman) was posted as Chief Cashier and responsible for depositing the cash amount in Bank within the period mentioned above. Upon verification, it was found that cash of Rs. 42,98,720/- was deficient, on interrogation, co-accused Anurag Aman confessed that he has withdrawn the amount of Rs. 42,99,000/- from Cash Vault. Further confessed that he deposited the above-mentioned amount in the account maintained by wife (present petitioner) in Bank of India bearing account no. 579310510000994. Due to administrative pressure, co-accused Anurag Aman has returned the amount of Rs. 42,95,000/- in three installments in the account of AIIMS Patna as mentioned in complaint. On the basis of these allegations against the petitioner the present F.I.R was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedents. Further submits that petitioner is quite innocent and committed no offence. Further submits that petitioner is wife of sole accuse Anurag Aman and main allegations are against the co accused Anurag Aman (husband) of the petitioner for defalcation of money who was posted as head cashier in AIIMS Patna. Further submits that petitioner's husband deposited total sum of Rs. 42,99,000/- in account of AIIMS, Patna in three installments as directed by the AIIMS administration. Further submits that petitioner is a lady and she has responsibility to take care of her two minor children and old aged parents-in-laws.

**A.B.P No. 939 of 2026****Contd.****11.03.2026**

Further submits that the petitioner has never filed any bail application for regular or anticipatory bail prior to this application either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that petitioner is ready to furnish the sureties to the satisfaction of the court, hence she prayed for anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand the learned Addl. P.P. for the State as well as Ld. Counsel of the informant has strongly opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Ld. Counsel of the informant submits that petitioner is direct beneficiary of the defalcated amount. Further submits that the present petitioner's custodial interrogation is essential for determining knowledge and intent, establishing whether funds were further transferred, identifying possible concealment or layering, recovering digital and documentary evidence. Further submits that a substantial amount sum of Rs 28,35,679/- still remains unrecovered and outstanding.

6. Record as well as case diary perused. On perusal of para-53 of the case diary it transpires that since 05.09.2023 till 23.12.2025 Rs. 49,56,698/- have been deposited by her husband in the account maintained by present petitioner in Bank of India bearing account no. 579310510000994 and the aforesaid amount has been also transferred/withdrawn through UPI. Prima facie shows that the petitioner is direct beneficiary of the above-mentioned defalcated amount being transferred in her account. Investigation against petitioner is still going on.

7. Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, gravity and seriousness of the allegations involving defalcation of a huge amount of public money, investigation is still going on against the petitioner, this court does not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence the prayer for bail of the petitioner, namely, **Pinki Kumari** is hereby **rejected**

It is however, clarified that nothing expression herein above shall tantamount to an expression of opinion of merits of the case.

**(Dictated).**

**Sd/-  
(Sunita Rani)  
Dist.&Addl.S.J. XXI,  
Patna**

<b>Date of Order</b>	<b>11.03.2026</b>
<b>Date of Reserving Order</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Uploading Date</b>	<b>12.03.2026</b>
<b>Uploaded by</b>	<b>Rahul/-</b>