

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No.727 of 2026.**

1. Harsh Kumar
2. Abhishek Kumar
3. Shivam Kumar Singh ..... Petitioners.

**Versus  
The State of Bihar**

<b>Order No.</b>	<b>Date</b>
03	06-03-2026

**O R D E R**

1. The **three petitioners** seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Gardanibagh P.S. Case No. 89 of 2026**, registered for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 281, 303(2), 324(4), read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. Heard Sri Sanjeev Shekhar Pathak, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Rajesh Kumar, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.
3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Pushpit Srivastava, was on his way to his senior's chamber from Janta Road at about 4:30 p.m. Upon reaching the SBI ATM, he encountered three unidentified individuals performing stunts on two motorcycles. Both riders struck the informant, causing him to fall headlong and sustain injuries to his chest and waist. When he attempted to get up, the assailants assaulted him further. They then smashed his mobile phone and fled, snatching approximately ₹12,000 from his pocket along with a gold chain from his neck. The motorcycles bore registration numbers BR-01 FR 7860 and BR-01 HX 7219.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the only non-bailable offence invoked is Section 303(2) of the BNS, which carries a maximum sentence of five years' imprisonment and is triable by a Magistrate. It is further argued that the allegations of snatching cash and a gold chain are vague and omnibus in nature. Learned counsel contends that the incident arose from a trivial dispute and emphasizes that the petitioners have no prior criminal record.

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No.727 of 2026.**

Contd....  
(06-03-2026)

5. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, he fairly concedes that the Investigating Officer has recorded that from the written complaint of the informant, it is apparent that he was not treated at any hospital, rather procured medicine from the medical store, consumed the same and recovered. He also affirms that the petitioners have clean criminal antecedent as recorded by the Investigating Officer in para 31 of the case diary.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case; the nature of the offences, the extent of punishment prescribed upon conviction, the general and omnibus nature of the allegations, and the rival submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of the above-named petitioners is **allowed**. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the above-named petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)  
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Judgment/Order	06-03-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	06-03-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-