

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.725 of 2026.

1. Sanjay Singh

2. Vijay Singh

..... Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar

O R D E R

**Order
No.**

02 **18-02-2026**

1. The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in connection with **Patliputra P.S. Case No.53/2026**, registered under Sections 119(1), 308(3), 308(4), 329(3), 351(2), 352 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant Nishant Singh participated in an auction conducted by Indian Bank on 26.06.2025 and emerged as the highest bidder for Flat No.101 in Prince Residency Apartment, Vivekananda Park, New Patliputra Colony. The flat, with a super built-up area of 1195 sq. ft. including car parking, was purchased for ₹71,97,000. On 03.01.2026, when the informant attempted to take possession and move in with his belongings, petitioner No.2 Vijay Singh, the former owner, locked the nearby gate and the building entrance, preventing entry. He allegedly threatened to kill the informant if he entered the premises. It is further alleged that petitioner No.2, with the assistance of petitioner No.1 Sanjay Singh, intimidated residents, locked the main door, elevator, and other entrances, and demanded ₹40 lakh in extortion for allowing the informant to reside in the flat.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR itself reveals the flat originally belonged to petitioner No.2 Vijay Singh. It is further submitted that petitioner No.1 Sanjay Singh had purchased the flat in the first round of auction conducted by the bank. The dispute, therefore, pertains to ownership of a litigated property, and the criminal case has been instituted merely to give the matter a criminal complexion. Even otherwise, taking the accusation on its face value does not make out a case for offence

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.725 of 2026.

Contd....
(18-02-2026)

under 119(1) and 308(4) BNS. Learned counsel also emphasizes that the petitioners have clean antecedents.

4. For a better appreciation of this anticipatory bail application, office is directed to **call for case diary** along with criminal antecedents of accused-petitioners from the Investigating Officer.
5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for interim protection against arrest.
6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case; the submissions of learned counsel; and the petitioners' clean antecedents, ***interim protection from arrest*** is granted to the petitioners in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.53/2026 ***until the next date*** of hearing. The petitioners are, however, directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer and remain available whenever required by the police authorities.
7. Re-list this case on 07.03.2026.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Judgment/Order	18-02-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	18-02-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-