

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.713 of 2026.

Supriya Pratik

..... **Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar

**Order
No.**

Date

O R D E R

02 18-02-2026

- 1.** The **petitioner, Supriya Pratik**, seeks anticipatory bail in connection with **Sri Krishna Puri P.S. Case No. 40/2026**, registered under Sections 308(5), 318(4), 329(3), 336(3), 338 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
- 2.** The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Dr. Veena Bhatiya, alleges that the petitioner along with her co-accused husband, Anup Kumar Singh, fraudulently executed a sale deed concerning a shop belonging to the informant's late husband. On 02.01.2026, co-accused Anup Kumar Singh sent a draft "Agreement to Sell" via WhatsApp to the informant, containing false statements and bearing only the petitioner's signature. When the informant refused to sign, Anup Kumar Singh deposited ₹4,43,000 into her account between 2 January and 5 January 2026, which she claims to have immediately returned. On 5 January 2026, the informant issued a letter to both accused, clearly rejecting the draft agreement and stating her unwillingness to sell the shops. Despite this, Anup Kumar Singh allegedly forged her signature on the agreement and began misleading tenants, pressuring them to vacate. He further sent a WhatsApp message on 08.01.2026, falsely asserting that the informant had executed an agreement on 02.01.2026 and received ₹15 lakh in cash. The informant, through her lawyer, replied to this legal notice on 24.01.2026.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although allegations have been made against both accused regarding the fraudulent sale deed, the primary accusations are directed at co-accused husband Anup Kumar Singh. This is evident both from the FIR, as well as, from the fact that during investigation, a notice under Section 94 of BNSS was served upon co-accused Anup Kumar

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No.713 of 2026.

Contd....
(18-02-2026)

Singh. Furthermore, the petitioner is a woman with no prior criminal record.

4. For a better appreciation of this anticipatory bail application, the office is directed to **call for the case diary** along with details of the petitioner's criminal antecedents from the Investigating Officer.
5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for interim protection from arrest.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; the submissions of learned counsel, and the fact that the petitioner is a woman with clean antecedent, ***interim protection from arrest is granted to Supriya Pratik until the next date*** of hearing in connection with Shri Krishna Puri P.S. Case No. 40/2026. The petitioner is directed to cooperate with the Investigating Officer and remain available whenever required by the police.
7. Re-list this case on 09.03.2026.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rupesh Deo)
Sessions Judge, Patna

Date of Judgment/Order	18-02-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	18-02-2026
Uploaded by	Amjad/-