

IN THE COURT OF DIST. & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE -XXI, PATNA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 183/2026

Kumar Abhimanyu

V/s

**State of Bihar
(Complaint Case No. 1888(C)/2024)**

ORDER

- 29.04.2026** 1. Anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused-petitioner, namely, **Kumar Abhimanyu** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Complaint Case No. 1888(C)/2024** registered U/S- 498A, 241, 232, 504 of I.P.C. and U/S 3 & 4 of D.P. Act which is pending in the Court of LD. S.D.J.M Patna has been moved by his learned counsel. The Ld. A.P.P for the State as well as Ld. Counsel on behalf of the complainant appeared before the court. LCR is available on record.
2. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that marriage of complainant with accused Kumar Abhimanyu was solemnized on 06.12.2021. It is alleged that she was physically and mentally tortured by the in-laws family and her husband. Further alleged that her husband (petitioner) has illicit relation with two other girls. Further alleged that her husband used to demand Rs. 25 Lakhs and four wheeler vehicle. On the basis of these allegations the present complaint was registered.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Further submits that allegations are general and concocted. Further submits that petitioner is husband of the complainant and there is no specific allegation against him in entire complaint petition nor any overtake and demand of dowry by petitioner. Further submits that no single ingredient of Section 341, 323, 504 and 498A of I.P.C is attracted against the petitioner. Further submits that petitioners have not moved anticipatory bail petition or regular bail petition either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedents. Further submits that petitioner is ready to furnish the bail bonds to the satisfaction of the court, hence prayed for anticipatory bail.
4. On the other hand the Ld. Addl. P.P for the State as well as Ld. Counsel of the complainant opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail of the petitioner

IN THE COURT OF DIST. & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE -XXI, PATNA

2.

A.B.P. No.183/2026

Contd.

29.04.2026

5. Heard Both sides. The Record as well as the LCR perused. The present petitioner is husband of the complainant. On perusal of S.A & enquiry witnesses transpires that allegations of demand of dowry levelled against petitioner are general and omnibus. The matter was referred to the Mediation Center however, no settlement have arrived between the parties. It is further noted that all the offences mentioned in the complaint are bailable except Sections 498A of I.P.C & u/s 3/4 of D.P Act. These offences are punishable with imprisonment for a maximum term of three years.

6. In the light of judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Arnesh Kumar Vs State of Bihar and Sateyandra Antil Vs NCT of Delhi, instant case comes under the category of offences punishable up to seven years imprisonment and keeping in view the above mentioned facts and circumstances petitioner, namely, **Kumar Abhimanyu** is directed to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks of this order on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below subject to conditions laid down u/s-438(2) Cr.P.C. with further condition:-

- i) Petitioner shall not repeat any criminal offence in future.
- ii) Petitioner shall not induce, threat or promise to any of the witness acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case,
- iii) Petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation and trial.
- iv) One of the bailor shall be family member of the petitioner.

7. It goes without saying that since the petitioner has on his own come forward to submits that he would pay a sum of Rs. 5,000/- per month to the complainant, such amount shall be paid within first seven days of every month in the bank account of the complainant.

8. Ld. Counsel for the complainant submits that the details of the bank account has been provided to the petitioner through her lawyer for this purpose.

IN THE COURT OF DIST. & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE -XXI, PATNA

3.

A.B.P. No.183/2026

Contd.

29.04.2026

It is however, clarified that nothing expression herein above shall tantamount to an expression of opinion of merits of the case.

(Dictated).

Sd/-

(Sunita Rani)

**Distt. &Addl.S.J. XXI,
Patna**

Date of Order	29.04.2026
Date of Reserving Order	NA
Uploading Date	30.04.2026
Uploaded by	Rahul/-