

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.1309/2026

Mantu Lal Sharma & Anr.
Versus
State of Bihar

Petitioner
Opp.Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
19.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, 1.Mantu Lal Sharma and 2.Sanju Devi, who are apprehending their arrest, in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No.295/2026 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 303(2), 109 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and put up for hearing. The present A.B.P. was filed on behalf of two petitioners in which one of them, namely, Mantu Lal Sharma has got arrested and now the present Anticipatory Bail Petition has become infructuous as against him i.e. Mantu Lal Sharma. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail petition is maintainable only against petitioner no.2-Sanju Devi. 2. Heard Shri Umesh Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the state and perused the record including the case diary. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant is that on 01.05.2026 at about 05:30 P.M., when he	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.1309/2026

Contd. 19.06.2026 19/06/2026	raised question for construction of boundary wall on excess land, which has already been sold out, earlier, all the accused persons including this petitioner started abusing him. It is alleged that on protest, they assaulted him by means of Garasa, lathi-danda, etc. It is also alleged that they snatched away a gold chain from the neck of the informant. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather she has been falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that both the parties are living separately, where, the present informant is living in his matrimonial house after partition, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. 5. There is general and omnibus allegation levelled against petitioner-Sanju Devi of taking away some golden ornament, which has not been corroborated in the case diary. The injury report corresponds with that and it shows a sharp cut incised wound of dimension 2 ½" X 1/8" X ½" over right
--	---

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.1309/2026

Contd. 19.06.2026 19/06/2026	shoulder and a sharp cut incised wound of dimension 3"X1/8"X1/2" behind the neck. The said injury was found to grievous in nature. But the said injury has not been made by this petitioner and the same was caused by co-accused, Mantu Lal Sharma, who is the brother of the informant. The dispute arose over the erecting of boundry-wall over the land and altercation took place between the parties. They are own relatives. 6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner Sanju Devi is being <u>allowed</u> hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the	
--	--	--

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No.1309/2026

Contd. 19.06.2026	case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates her bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled. (Dictated & corrected by me) <i>Shutosh Kumar Sh</i> Principal Sessions Judge. <i>19/06/2026</i>	
------------------------------------	--	--