

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

B.P. No.1068/2026

Rajesh Sao

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, Rajesh Sao, who is in custody since 08.05.2026 in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.02/2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 76, 303(2), 308 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Rajnish Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State and perused the record including the case diary. 3. Short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant Sarita Devi is that on 02.12.2025, she along with her Gotni were in the house and all the male members of her family were outside of the house. It is alleged that during that course all the accused persons including this petitioner entered into her house and inquired about her father-in-law, husband and dewar and they threatened her that they will kill them. It is further alleged that when she asked the reason for the same then this petitioner, Rajesh Sao, caught her heir and threshed her on ground and on the point of	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
B.P. No.1068/2026

Contd. 03.06.2026 <i>1/2 he</i> <i>03/06/2026</i>	firearm, he snatched away a gold chain from her neck. It is further alleged that Ramesh Sao taken away Rs.20,000/- from an Almirah and they also threatened to fulfill the demand of extortion amount of Rs.50,00,000/-, if she wants to save them. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, who is in custody since 08.05.2026, has got three criminal antecedents, which are, 1.Pakribarwan P.S. Case No.342/2019, 2.Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.92/2012 and Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.448/2022, in all the cases, he is on bail and in this regard a petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is innocent rather he has falsely been implicated in the present case due to land dispute. It has also been submitted that the allegation as regard to extortion and threshing levelled against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It has also been submitted there is case and counter case in between the parties, hence, the bail petition of the petitioner may be allowed. On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the bail petition of the petitioner. 5. The petitioner is in custody since	
---	---	--

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
B.P. No.1068/2026

Contd.
03.06.2026

08.05.2026. There is general and omnibus allegation levelled against this petitioner that he caught the informant by her heir and threshed on the ground and brandish pistol and took away Rs.20,000/- and also demanded the extortion money of Rs. 50,00,000/-. It is very strange that the said incident occurred on 02.12.2025 whereas the same has been reported on 31.12.2025 for which no explanation has been given. Further, though injury has been caused to the informant and case diary itself states the injury has not been received by the informant. Petitioner is accused in three cases, which are, 1.Pakribarwan P.S. Case No.342/2019, 2.Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.92/2012 and Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.448/2022, in all the cases, he is on bail, in this regard a petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner. There is case and counter case between the parties and it has been admitted by the witnesses that there is land dispute existing between the parties.

1/20
08/06/2026

6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the accused-petitioner, namely, Rajesh Sao, is being **allowed** hereby and the petitioner is directed to be released

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

B.P. No.1068/2026

Contd.
03.06.2026

on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court subject to the conditions that the petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, the petitioner will not tamper with the evidence and he shall remain present on each and every date during the trial and if he fails to appear on two consecutive dates his bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

Shutosh Kumar
Principal Sessions Judge.

02/06/2026