

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.1275/2026

Gautam Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp.Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Gautam Kumar who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.159 of 2026 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 352, 351(3), 329(3), 109, 74, 281, 125(a), 324(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Gauri Shankar Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the state and perused the record including the case diary. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant Manoj Kumar is that on 12.04.2026 at about 07:00 P.M. due to earlier dispute, all the accused persons including the petitioners equipped with spade, iron rod, etc. came near his door and started abusing and threatening to the informant. It is alleged that upon asking the reason of the same, they assaulted the informant and his family members by means of rod, khanti, etc. It is further alleged that	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No.1275/2026

Contd. 04.06.2026 04/06/2026 Kabe	when he was going for treatment of his mother to hospital, the accused-Gautam Kumar intentionally tried to dash him and his mother, in which, his mother sustained head injury and leg fracture. It is also alleged that on 15.04.2026 at about 07:00 A.M. the accused persons damaged the house of the informant by pelting stones and bricks. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got two criminal antecedents, which are, 1.Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.57/2025 under section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.and 2.Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.20/2026 under Section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., in both the cases, he is on bail. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather he has been falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that as per FIR, the specific allegation against the petitioner is only to block the mouth and nose of the informant and tried to outrage the modesty of informant's wife. It has also been submitted there is case and counter case in between the parties and there is also inordinate delay in lodging the present FIR. Hence,
---	---

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No.1275/2026

Contd. 04.06.2026	anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. 5. The petitioner-Gautam Kumar is accused in Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.57/2025 under Section 126(2), 115(2), 117, 303(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.20/2026 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4), 3(5) of the B.N.S. and both the cases, he is on bail. The allegation against him is that he has pressed the mouth of the informant, Manoj Kumar and tried to indecent behavior with his wife. No other allegation has been levelled against him. The specific allegation made upon other co-accused, who are not petitioner over here, namely, Raushan Kumar and Dinesh Singh. The injury report of only mother of the informant has been brought on record, which shows fracture of second metacarpal bone and soft tissue appear normal and that relates to another incident and not the present. There is case and counter case between both the parties due to earlier dispute between them. 6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly,	
------------------------------------	---	--

1/10/2026
04/06/2026

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No.1275/2026

Contd. 04.06.2026 <i>1/2/26</i> <i>04/06/2026</i>	when he was going for treatment of his mother to hospital, the accused-Gautam Kumar intentionally tried to dash him and his mother, in which, his mother sustained head injury and leg fracture. It is also alleged that on 15.04.2026 at about 07:00 A.M. the accused persons damaged the house of the informant by pelting stones and bricks. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got two criminal antecedents, which are, 1.Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.57/2025 under section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.and 2.Nemdarganj P.S. Case No.20/2026 under Section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., in both the cases, he is on bail. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather he has been falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that as per FIR, the specific allegation against the petitioner is only to block the mouth and nose of the informant and tried to outrage the modesty of informant's wife. It has also been submitted there is case and counter case in between the parties and there is also inordinate delay in lodging the present FIR. Hence,	
---	---	--

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.1275/2026

Contd.
04.06.2026

the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner Gautam Kumar is being **allowed** hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates his bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

Principal Sessions Judge.