

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Nawada.

Bail Petition No.- 1063/2026

Arising out of Nawada P.S Case No.- 775/2022

Suraj Kumar Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar Opp. Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Sri Pushp Pawan Dixit

Ld. Counsel for the State Sri Manoj Kumar Singh

09.07.2026

This Bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Suraj Kumar** who is in custody since 26.04.2026 in connection with **Nawada P.S Case No.- 775/2022** registered U/Ss. 395 I.P.C. Copy of this B.P. has already been served to the Ld. P.P.

As per fard beyan of informant Md. Shamshad F.I.R. lodged against five six unknown persons for robbing truck of informant's owner bearing reg. No. NL01C-2886 loaded with TMT Sariya (Rod) near Budhaul, Nawada.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has seven criminal antecedent.

Learned APP opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. Witnesses have supported the case of prosecution in para 3,25,26,27, 28 of case diary. From perusal of paragraph 4 of case diary, it appears that in the night of alleged occurrence during course of night patrolling duty two suspected persons namely Ankit Kumar @ Neeraj and Sangam Kumar Trivedi were arrested by AS.I. Satyanarayan Mandal of town P.S. During interrogation both have confessed their involvement in the alleged occurrence and they have also stated that their associates namely Prince Kumar, Nitish Kumar, Pankaj Kumar and petitioner Suraj Kumar having mobile no. 9955319385 were also involved in the occurrence. From perusal of supplementary charge sheet 03, at para 10 it is mentioned that mobile no.- 9955319385 belongs to accused petitioner Suraj Kumar. Para 76 of case diary shows that petitioner has seven criminal antecedent, in which 5 cases are relating with section

395 of I.P.C. Case of this petitioner is on different footings as he has seven criminal antecedents and most of the case are of section 395 of I.P.C. Investigation in this case is still going on.

Considering the allegation against the petitioner and his long chain of criminal antecedent of same nature, he is not entitled to be enlarged on bail, as such his prayer for granting regular bail is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Nawada