

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 1076/2026

Vikash Kumar & Ors.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
02.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, 1.Vikash Kumar, 2.Dwarika Prasad, 3.Anurag Kumar, 4.Punam Kumari and 5.Supriya Kumari, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sirdalla P.S. Case No.111/2026 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 303(2), 324(4), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S and put up for hearing. Earlier, A.B.P. No.1076/2026 has been filed on behalf of altogether five petitioners out of whom, petitioner no.2, Dwarika Prasad during pendency of the same get arrested and the same stands infructuous against him and the present anticipatory bail petition is maintainable only against petitioners, namely, 1.Vikash Kumar, 3.Anurag Kumar, 4.Punam Kumari and 5.Supriya Kumari respectively. 2. Heard Shri Sanjay Kumar Priyadarshi, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State. Perused the record including the case diary. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per the written statement of the informant Alka Kumari is that on 17.04.2026 at about 09:45 P.M., she along with her husband were going to	

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 1076/2026

Contd.
02.06.2026

her newly constructed house of her Maika from her matrimonial house. It is alleged that while they were crossing the house of accused-Vikas Kumar suddenly attacked upon the informant and tried to outrage her modesty. It is further alleged that petitioner-Vikas Kumar pressed her neck by means of Gamchha with intention to kill her and when her husband tried to save her, he also assaulted her husband by means of iron rod. It is alleged that he also snatched gold chains from the neck of the informant as well as her husband. It is further alleged that anyhow they managed to save themselves and reached at her maika. It is also alleged that the accused persons along with these petitioners equipped with various weapon entered into her parental house and started abusing and damaged four-wheeler bearing registration no.BR10AC9889 and CCTV installed in the house. It is also alleged that accused-Drwarika Prasad ordered them to put on fire their house and vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It has been submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. It has further been submitted that the specific allegation as regard to assault is levelled only against the petitioner no.1, namely, Vikas Kumar and allegation against rest of the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. It has further been submitted that there is case and counter

1/8/26
02/06/2026

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 1076/2026

Contd. 02.06.2026 1/10/26 02/06/2026	case in between the parties. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed. On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners. 5. There is specific allegation levelled against petitioner-Vikash Kumar of assaulting the informant and her husband by iron rod. The allegation against rest of the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. Further, in para 18 of the case diary, the F.S.L. did not find any relevant exhibit at the P.O., where, there is allegation that they have damaged the property of the house of the informant at her Maika and no such any relevant exhibit has been found. Further, the injury report has been brought on record, which shows that the husband of the informant, namely, Vinod Kumar suffered redness in right eye and bruise on neck and bodyache. The X-ray was taken of chest-AP, the study is within normal limit. The injury was found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance and injury found on the person of the informant Alka Kumari was found to be headache. No significant abnormality was found in the CT-brain. 6. In view of the above, this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners petitioners, namely, Vikash Kumar, Anurag Kumar, Punam Kumari and Supriya Kumari, are being <u>allowed</u> hereby and it is ordered that	
--	--	--

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 1076/2026

Contd.
02.06.2026

in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioners shall remain present on each and every date during the trial and if the petitioners fail to appear on two consecutive dates their bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

Principal Sessions Judge.

02/06/2026