

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.988/2026

**Ramesh Sao @ Ramesh Kumar
Versus**

State of Bihar

Petitioner

Opp.Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Ramesh Sao @ Ramesh Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.02/2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 76, 303(2), 308 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Rajnish Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the state and perused the record including the case diary. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant Sarita Devi is that on 02.12.2025, she along with her Gotni were in the house and all the male members of her family were outside of the house. It is alleged that during that course all the accused persons including this petitioner entered into her house and inquired about her father-in-law, husband and dewar and they threatened her that they will kill them. It is further alleged that when she asked the reason for the same then this petitioner,	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No.988/2026

Contd. 03.06.2026 <i>1/20/2026</i>	Rajesh Sao, caught her heir and threshed her on ground and on the point of firearm, he snatched away a gold chain from her neck. It is further alleged that Ramesh Sao taken away Rs.20,000/- from an Almirah and they also threatened to fulfill the demand of extortion amount of Rs.50,00,000/-, if she wants to save them. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather he has been falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. It has been further submitted that there is case and counter case in between the parties. It has further been submitted that the allegations which have been levelled against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and there is inordinate delay in lodging the present FIR, too. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. 5. There is general and omnibus allegation against petitioner- Ramesh Sao @ Ramesh Kumar and he has no criminal antecedent. It	
--	---	--

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.988/2026

Contd.
03.06.2026

is admitted fact that there is land dispute existing between the parties. It is very strange that the said incident occurred on 02.12.2025 whereas the same has been reported on 31.12.2025 for which no explanation has been given. Further, though injury has been caused to the informant and case diary itself states the injury has not been received by the informant. There is case and counter case between the parties and it has been admitted by the witnesses that there is land dispute existing between the parties.

6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner **Ramesh Sao @ Ramesh Kumar** is being allowed hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and

1/10/26
03/06/2026

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.988/2026

Contd. 03.06.2026	when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates his bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled. (Dictated & corrected by me) <i>Shubash Kumar</i> Principal Sessions Judge. <i>03/06/2026</i>
------------------------------	--