

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.924/2026

Manoj Yadav & Anr.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp.Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
03.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, Manoj Yadav and Ramswarup Yadav, who are apprehending their arrest, in connection with Roh P.S. Case 26 of 2026 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and put up for hearing. Earlier, the present petition was filed on behalf of two petitioner, out of them, one petitioner, namely, Manoj Yadav has got arrested and now the present petition is maintainable only against petitioner, namely, Ramswarup Yadav. 2. Heard Shri Bharat Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the state and perused the record including the case diary. 3. Short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant Ramautar Yadav is that on 20.01.2026 at about 08:00 P.M., when he was sitting near his door, all the FIR named accused persons including this petitioner armed with <i>lathi</i>, <i>danda</i>, etc. came there and in drunken condition they started abusing and threatening him and they have also caused head injury by means of iron rod. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.924/2026

Contd.
03.06.2026

that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather he has been falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that there is case and counter case in between the parties and the allegation as regard to assault levelled against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.

On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. There is general and omnibus allegation levelled against him of assaulting the informant over his head by iron rod. The injury report has been brought on record, which shows the injury suffered by the informant is lacerated wound of size 2 ½" X ½" X muscle deep on head with pain and bleeding. The pain in lower back region and whole body pain. The injuries were found to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance on the basis of supplementary medical report.

6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner, namely, **Ramswarup Yadav** is being allowed hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.924/2026

Contd. 03.06.2026	the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates his bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled. (Dictated & corrected by me) <i>Shutosh Kumar</i> Principal Sessions Judge. <i>03/06/2026</i>	
------------------------------------	---	--