

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026
1. A.B.P.No. 814/2026

Triloki Chaudhary

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

&

2. A.B.P. No.913/2026

Akhilesh Chaudhary @ Akhilesh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

2. A.B.P. No.944/2026

Bablu Chaudhary

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
08.06.2026 <i>File</i> <i>08/06/2026</i>	A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 and A.B.P. No.944/2026 have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, Triloki Chaudhary, Akhilesh Chaudhary @ Akhilesh Kumar, Bablu Chaudhary, respectively, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kawakole P.S. Case No.91/2026 u/ss. 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 3562, 351(2), 351(3) of the B.N.S., put up for hearing. B.P. No.397/2026, B.P.No. 476/2026 & B.P. No.586/2026 are being heard together and are being disposed of	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &
A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd. 08.06.2026	hereby by this common order, as all the petitions arise out of same police station case number bearing Kawakole P.S. Case No.91/2026. 2. Heard Shri Ram Chandra Rajbanshi in A.B.P.No. 814/2026, Shri Amar Kumar @ Karan in A.B.P. No.913/2026 and Shri Parshuram Singh in A.B.P. No.944/2026 the learned counsels for the petitioners as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, the learned P.P. for the state. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per Fardbayan of the informant Mano Devi is that on 15.02.2026 at about 09:00 A.M., the accused-Sonarwa Devi came to her house and started busing her and told that she is breaking marriage of her Jaidhi and due to which an altercation took place and same was pacified by the local people. It is alleged that on the same day at about 12:00 P.M. while her son, namely, Rajesh Kumar was plastering drainage situated outside her house and her daughter were also there and engaged in chit-chat, all the accused persons including these petitioners equipped with various weapon and started assaulting her son and daughter. It is alleged that upon hearing noise,
------------------------------	---

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

when her husband, son, grand-daughter and other family members came out from her house, they also assaulted them by means of iron rod, stick, etc. It is also alleged that they also threatened to kill them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners jointly submitted that in A.B.P. No.814/2026 the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and no specific allegation has been levelled against him, in A.B.P. No.913/2026, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and both the parties are agnates, where, the allegation has been levelled against this petitioner is only to assault the son of the informant, namely, Rahul Kumar and in A.B.P. No.944/2026, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he was no present on the place of occurrence. It has been submitted that they are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged rather they have been falsely implicated in this case due to family dispute. Hence, the anticipatory bail petitions of the petitioners may be allowed.

On the other hand the learned P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail petitions of

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd 08.06.2026 08/06/2026	the petitioners. 5. In A.B.P.No. 814/2026, Triloki Chaudhary is the petitioner and there is no criminal history and there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against him, A.B.P. No.913/2026, Akhilesh Chaudhary @ Akhilesh Kumar is the petitioner, who has no criminal antecedent and there is specific allegation against him along with other co-accused, namely, Subhash Chaudhary is alleged to have assaulted over the head of the son of the informant Rahul Kumar. The injury found on the person of Rahul Kumar is clean lacerated wound vertex of head 4x1/2x1/4 cm size. Pain and swelling over right leg 3x3cm size. CT-scan of brain impression, 1.left frontal lob hematoma 5.0x3.5cm size, 2.left fronto temporo parietal epidural hematoma 6.0X1.0 cm size. Further the injury was found to be grievous. and in A.B.P. No.944/2026, Bablu Chaudhary is the petitioner and there is specific allegation levelled against him of assaulting along with co-accused, Subhash Chaudhary and Raushan Chaudhary over the head of the husband of the informant by iron rod, due to	
---	---	--

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

frs
08/06/2026

which he succumbed to his head injury. The postmortem report has been brought on record, which shows, the deceased Dashrath Chaudhary has suffered Sub scalp Hematoma all over, comminuted fracture of size 14cm X 9cm over left temporo-parietal region 4 cm from midline, adjoining left ear. Sub Dural Hematoma all over. In general all abdominal viscera congested. Stomach contains yollowish fluid around 40 ml. Mucosa normal, Bladder empty. Abrasion of size 1cmx0.5.cm over left forehead and 10cmx2cm over left side chest, 21 cm from midline and stitch in left parietal region of length 6cm, 1cm from midline, 12 cm from left ear base. The opinion given by the doctor with regard to the cause of death is the head injury and its complication. Further, the injury reports have been brought on record, which shows the injury on the person of Bibha Devi is pain and swelling over left shoulder and pain and swelling over left thigh and the nature of injury was found to be simple. On the person of Radha Devi the following injury, i.e. pain and swelling and deformity of left arm and X-ray of left arm which was shown fracture

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &
A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

shaft of humerus and the same found to be grievous but not on vital part of the body. Jyoti Kumar has suffered pain and swelling on left forearm and x-ray report of left forearm and elbow are normal. The injury was found to be simple and it does not correspond ^{to the} the description of hand injury as described in the body of the FIR. Pritam Kumari has suffered pain on left shoulder and left arm and after its X-ray the same was found to be normal and the injury also found to be simple. Injury on the person of Rajesh Chaudhary was body-ache and abrasion all over upper limb and both shoulder joints and X-ray of the same was found to be normal and injury was also found to be simple in nature. Shambhu Chaudhary has suffered abrasion on right shoulder 1X1/2X1/4 size and pain and swelling on right forearm and X-ray of the same was found to be normal. The injury was found to be simple in nature. Punam Kumari has suffered abrasion on left forearm near the left wrist 1X1/2X1/4 cm size and the injury was found to be simple in nature.

6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

petitioner-Triloki Chaudhary of A.B.P.No. 814/2026 on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the accused-petitioner, namely, **Triloki Chaudhary** is being **allowed** hereby, whereas, anticipatory bail petitions of petitioner-**Akhilesh Chaudhary @ Akhilesh Kumar** of A.B.P. No.913/2026 and petitioner-**Bablu Chaudhary** of A.B.P. No.944/2026 are being **rejected** hereby, since, the investigation is still going on and against these two petitioners there is specific allegation of assaulting the son of the informant, namely, Rahul Kumar and husband of the informant, namely, Dashrath Chaudhary (deceased).

It is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioner, namely, **Triloki Chaudhary** shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make themselves available for interrogation by

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No. 814/2026, A.B.P. No.913/2026 &

A.B.P. No.944/2026

**Contd.
08.06.2026**

a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates bail-bonds of the petitioner shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

Shutosh Kumar Sh
Principal Sessions Judge.

08/06/2026