

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 690/2026

Sunny Kumar & Ors.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
08.06.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.Sunny Kumar, 2.Jairani Devi and 3.Mamta Kumari, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sirdala P.S. Case No.86/2026 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S and put up for hearing. Earlier, the present A.B.P. was filed on behalf of three petitioners, out of them, petitioner no.1, namely, Sunny Kumar has got arrested and the same has become infructuous. Now, the present petition is maintainable only against petitioner no.2, namely, Jairani Devi and petitioner no.3, namely, Mamta Kumari. 2. Heard Shri Kamlesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State. Perused the record including the case diary. 3. The short case of the prosecution as per written complaint of the informant Mundrika Tanti is that on 05.03.2025 at	

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 690/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

about 09:00 P.M., while his daughter, namely, Kaushalya Kumari and grand-daughter (Natni), namely, Sushma Kumari were returning their house after attending the natural call. It is alleged that as they flashed the torch on the road, all the accused persons including this petitioner assaulted his wife, daughter and grand-daughter (*natni*) by means of bricks, etc.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It has been submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case due to family dispute. It has further been submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against petitioner no.3, Mamta Kumar, whereas, specific allegation is levelled against petitioner no.2, Jairani Devi, who is said to be threw brick upon the head of the Meena Devi. It has further been submitted that there is case and counter case in between the parties. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed.

On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

5. Both the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, which is clear from the perusal

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 690/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

1/10/26
08/06/2026

of the case diary. There is general and omnibus allegation levelled against petitioner no.3, Mamta Kumar, whereas, specific allegation is levelled against petitioner no.2, Jairani Devi, who is said to be threw brick upon the head of the Meena Devi. There is case and counter case between the parties over trivial issue of throwing torch light upon the road while the daughter of the informant and other girl was going towards field to attend natural call. The injury report has been brought on record, which shows Meena Devi has fracture of bilateral of nasal bones and nasal septum with soft tissue swelling. Fracture of anterior and medial wall of left maxillary sinus with hemosinus. Chronic ischemic changes in bilateral fronto-parietal subcortical and periventricular white matter. No external injury found on the person Sushma Devi and Kaushaliya Devi. There is no further repetition of blow on the vital part of the body.

6. In view of the above, this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners, namely, **2.Jairani Devi and 3.Mamta Kumari** are being **allowed**

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA
A.B.P. No. 690/2026

Contd.
08.06.2026

hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioners shall remain present on each and every date during the trial and if the petitioners fail to appear on two consecutive dates their bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

(Dictated & corrected by me)
[Signature]
Principal Sessions Judge.

28/06/2026