

**COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA**

**A.B.P. No. 640/2026**

**Sumitra Devi & Ors.**

**Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Bihar**

**Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
13.04.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners 1.Sumitra Devi, 2.Soni Kumari, 3.Urmila Devi @ Urbila Devi and 4.Pinki Devi, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Nardiganj P.S. Case No.68/2026 u/ss. 115(2), 126(2), 109, 351(2), 352, 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S and put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Niranjana Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the State. Perused the record including the case diary.</p> <p>3. The short case of the prosecution as per the written statement of the informant Rahul Kumar is that on 25.02.2026 at about 05:30 P.M. accused Ramadhin Yadav came to the house of the informant and started abusing him and when he opposed, all the FIR named accused persons including these petitioners having stick and <i>khanti</i> entered into his house and started assaulting him by means of stick, <i>khanti</i>, etc. It is further alleged that when his brother-in-law and mother of the informant came to save him,</p>	

*13/04/2026*

**COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA**

**A.B.P. No. 640/2026**

Contd.  
13.04.2026

they assaulted them too causing injury on his head and head of his brother-in-law Ranjeet Kumar. It is also submitted that when his sister Arti Kumari came to save them, accused persons also assaulted her. It is also submitted that they had also snatched gold ear rings and gold chain belongs to his sister.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners, who are ladies, have got no criminal antecedent. It has been submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case and have committed no offence. It has further been submitted that the allegation as regard to assault is general and omnibus against the petitioner. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed.

On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

5. Petitioners are all ladies. There is general and omnibus allegation levelled against all the petitioners. From the perusal of the case diary there appears no criminal antecedents of the above petitioners. No specific allegation has been levelled against them. The injury report brought on record in para 32 of the case diary, shows that the informant Rahul Kumar has suffered three

*Handwritten note:*  
17/04/2026

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P. No. 640/2026

Contd.  
13.04.2026

injuries out of which one injury is simple and rest of the two has been reserved for further opinion. No supplementary report has been brought on record. Mona Devi has received three injuries which has been reserved for further opinion. No supplementary report has been brought on record. Arti Kumar has complain of pain in occipital region of head and backache but no obvious external injuries seen. The injuries found on the person of Ranjit Kumar is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

6. In view of the above, this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners 1.Sumitra Devi, 2.Soni Kumari, 3.Urmila Devi @ Urbila Devi and 4.Pinki Devi are being **allowed** hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
13/04/2026

**COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA**

**A.B.P. No. 640/2026**

**Contd.**  
**13.04.2026**

by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioners shall remain present on each and every date during the trial and if the petitioners fail to appear on two consecutive dates their bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

**(Dictated & corrected by me)**

**Principal Sessions Judge**

*13/04/2026*