

**In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-II,
Nawada.**

Bail Petition No.- 365/2026

Roshan Kumar and another

Vrs

State of Bihar

Hisua P.S. Case No. 126/2026

24.03.2026

Heard Ld. Counsel for petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. for the State, on bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner **1. Roshan Kumar @ Rohit Kumar, 2. Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Golu Kumar, both S/o Bhola Singh** who are in custody since 02-03-2026 in connection with Hisua P.S. Case No. 126/2026, u/s 126(2), 115(2), 132, 109, 352, 351(2) of BNS.

The prosecution story in short is that on 01.03.2026 informant alongwith other police party was on patrolling and at about 06:10 PM, when they reached near Hospital Road, they saw a bike was parked on the road and when they tried to move it to side, a person started behaving rudely and started fighting and after that another person came and asked as to who was moving the bike. Informant further alleged that the second person exhorted to assault them first, they will see what happens next. It is further alleged that the accused person assaulted on head of informant with mobile and when he tried to protect himself by his hand, his right hand got injured. Informant further alleged that the accused persons started fighting with the patrolling team also and after that 10-12 unknown persons came and they also assaulted the informant and pressed his neck. It is further alleged that after getting information about the incident. ASI Sanjay Bharti came to resolve the matter, but the accused persons assaulted him and his right shoulder got injured. It is further alleged that due to heavy crowd gathering, the unknown accused persons

fled away on bike and two persons were caught with the help of Police force.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Earlier no anticipatory bail petition has been filed before this court and any higher court. Further it is submitted that petitioners are having no criminal antecedent. Petitioners are in custody since 02-03-2026.

Learned APP opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Perused the record, the nature of allegations, the manner of occurrence as reflected from the FIR, the injury report which indicates simple injuries in the nature of swelling and not grievous hurt and further taking into account that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of bail. The petitioners have been in custody since 02-03-2026 and have already undergone sufficient period of custody.

Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly petitioner is allowed on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs 10,000/-** with **two sureties** of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court Below.

Dictated

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Nawada

Copy of order forwarded to the Ld. Court of
for information and needful.

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-II,
Nawada