

**In the Court of Distt. & Additional Sessions Judge-II,**  
**Nawada.**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 635/2026

Manjit Kumar Vs. State of Bihar

Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 442/2025

---

**17-04-2026**

Heard Ld. Counsel for petitioner and Ld. A.P.P. for the State on anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner **1. Manjit Kumar S/o Vilash Ram** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 442/2025 registered U/Ss. 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111(4), 61B, 3(5) of BNS and 66B, 66D of I.T. Act.

The prosecution story in short is that on 27.08.2025 informant got a secret information that some persons involved in cyber crime had assembled in village Chakwai engaged in activities to cheat innocent people. It is stated that after constituting a raiding team the informant along with the raiding team proceeded towards the said place, where they spotted 17-18 persons who tried to flee-away after spotting the party but with the help of raiding team 05 persons were apprehended and rest managed to escape. It is stated that the apprehended accused persons disclosed their names as Chandan Kumar, Nandan Kumar, Karan Kumar, Sunny Kumar and Saurav Kumar. The informant communicated about the occurrence and shared the same information to his superior authority and thereafter, due to unavailability of independent witnesses, two police officials from the raiding team were made seizure list witnesses. It is further stated that during course of search, one Oppo Android mobile phone set bearing IMEI No. 864113074231958 and 864113074231941 as well as SIM No. 9905149215 were recovered from the possession of Chandan Kumar and subsequently recoveries have also been made from other accused persons. Accordingly, the seizure memo was prepared and a copy of same was handed over to the apprehended accused persons. It is also stated that after inquiry, Chandan Kumar disclosed the names of other accused persons who had managed to escape successfully and also disclosed that he along-with other co-accused persons were working with Dhani Finance and have been engaged in

online cheating of the innocent people on pretext of providing loan.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged. He has been falsely implicated in the case. Earlier no anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. Further it is submitted that petitioner has two criminal antecedents.

Ld. A.P.P. opposed the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail.

From perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR and the petitioner along with other co-accused persons were planning to cheat innocent persons through mobile phone set. There is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner and specific allegation has been made regarding his involvement in the occurrence. Further from perusal of the record it is clear that aforesaid petitioner is having **two criminal antecedents vide Warisaliganj P.S. Case no. 107/17, & 297/17**. Moreover, the witnesses examined during investigation have supported the prosecution case. The offence as alleged involves cheating the innocent and naive persons, who were lured on pretext of big and easy money and thereby erode the faith of innocent people who invest their hard earned money.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands **rejected**.

Dictated

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-II,  
Nawada

Copy forwarded to the Ld. Court of ..... for  
information and needful.

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge-II,  
Nawada