

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.598/2026

Sanni Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp.Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
26.03.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner Sanni Kumar who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with Pakribarawan P.S. Case No.71 of 2026 under Sections 25(9), 26 and 27 of the Arms Act and put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Bijay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, learned P.P. for the state and perused the record including the case diary.</p> <p>3. The short case of the prosecution as per the written complaint of the informant Raj Kumar, A.S.I., posted at Pakribarawan Police Station is that a person, namely, Sanni Kumar, who was making fire in sky from his riffle, was seen in a viral video. It is further stated that the informant along with other police officials reached at Lilo village and enquired about the viral video where local Chaukidar has identified the person, namely, Sanny Kumar in viral video, who was firing in sky from riffle. Thereafter, proceeded towards the house of Sanny Kumar for</p>	

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.598/2026

Contd.  
26.03.2026

investigation but the petitioner was not found at his house.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence as alleged rather he has been falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted that the petitioner was not making fire from the riffle rather the same video was made through A.I., hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.

On the contrary learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. It is alleged that through viral video clip it was seen that the accused-petitioner was making and celebrating firing on the occasion of Tilak Ceremony. However, no such riffle has been seized as seen in the viral video nor any empty shell of the same has been found from the place of occurrence. The police is not able to bring on record the statement of any witness, who could have supported that the same accused-petitioner has made the celebratory firing. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. In view of the above this court thinks it fit just, proper and legal to admit the

COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, NAWADA

A.B.P.No.598/2026

Contd.  
26.03.2026

petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner Sanni Kumar is being **allowed** hereby and it is ordered that in the event of surrender/arrest within four weeks from today the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further conditions that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and if the petitioner fails to appear on two consecutive dates his bail-bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.

**(Dictated & corrected by me)**

*Shubash Kumar*  
**Principal Sessions Judge.**

26/03/2026

