

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Nawada.

Bail Petition No. - 338/2026
Arising out of G.O. (F) Case No.-95/2024

Ishtiyak Miyan @ Md. Ishtiyak @ Aftab Alam Petitioner
Versus
State of Bihar Opp. Party
Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Sri Amitabh Rajiv
Ld. Counsel for the State Sri Manoj Kumar Singh

02.04.2026

This Bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Ishtiyak Miyan @ Md. Ishtiyak @ Aftab Alam** who is in custody since 16.02.2026 in connection with **G.O. (F) Case No.-95/2024** registered U/Ss. 26,41,42 of Indian Forest Act and 27,29,31 of wild life protection Act. Copy of this B.P. has already been served to the Ld. P.P.

Prosecution story, in short, is that on 25.07.2024 informant was on patrolling at Chatkari Reserve Forest with his associate and in the mean time from Chatkari Reserve Forest, a commander jeep was coming loaded with illegal excavated mica and when the patrolling team tried to stop them for inquiry, then the jeep driver sped the jeep and stopped after some distance away and when the patrolling team inquired, then the accused person started threatening of beating and also threatened to damage the motorcycle. Informant further alleged that about the incident, they informed their senior officer and then a team came at the place of occurrence and when the accused person saw the team, they fled away, who were identified with the help of local people and they disclosed their names as 1. Karu Singh 2. Suresh Singh 3. Ishtiyak Miyan. After that from the place of occurrence a Jeep and 6 bags of mica (180kg) were recovered.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has two criminal antecedents.

Learned P.P. opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. Accused petitioner along with his associates tried to create obstruction in the official duty and

also threatened the patrolling team. 180 kg mica was recovered from the jeep. Petitioner is having criminal antecedent of same nature. Investigation is still going on.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation, the petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on bail, as such his prayer for granting regular bail is hereby **rejected** at this stage. However, he may renew prayer for bail after framing of charge, if so advised.

(Dictated)

Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Nawada

Date of Judgment / Order	02.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	NA
Uploading Date	07.04.2026
Uploaded by	Court Staff