

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-1st, Nawada.

Bail Petition No.- 337/2026

Arising out of G.O. (F) P.S Case No.- 155/2024

Ishtiyak Miyan @ Md. Ishtiyak @ Aftab Alam Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar Opp. Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Sri Amitabh Rajiv

Ld. Counsel for the State Sri Manoj Kumar Singh

02.04.2026

This Bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner **Ishtiyak Miyan @ Md. Ishtiyak @ Aftab Alam** who is in custody since 16.02.2026 in connection with **G.O. (F) P.S Case No.- 155/2024** registered U/Ss. 33 of Indian Forest Act and 27, 29, 30, 31 wildlife Protection Act. Copy of this B.P. has already been served to the Ld. P.P.

Prosecution story, in short, is that informant got information that accused Mahendra Singh along with his associates were illegally excavating the Savaiyatand reserve forest by planting explosives and detonating them. After getting information, when informant along with other police reached near the place of occurrence, they heard sound of explosion and after that when they reached at the place of occurrence, then after seeing the police, accused persons started running towards forest and who were chased but managed to escape in the forest. Informant further alleged that during the course of chasing, accused Mahendra Singh and eight other accused were identified. It has been alleged that from the place of occurrence evidences related to explosion were recovered. It has again been alleged that due to explosion for excavating mica by accused persons, an unknown person died, whose evidences were removed from the place of occurrence by accused Mahendra Singh.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has two criminal antecedents. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.02.2026.

Learned P.P. opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. Accused is named in F.I.R. and identified during chasing by officials. Accused-petitioner has criminal antecedents of similar nature. Matter is serious in nature and case is still under investigation.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation, the petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on bail, as such his prayer for granting regular bail is hereby **rejected** at this stage. However, he may renew for prayer for bail after framing of charge, if so advised.

(Dictated)

sd-

Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist
Nawada

Date of Judgment / Order	02.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	NA
Uploading Date	07.04.2026
Uploaded by	Court Staff