

1
District - Nalanda
IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, CAMP
COURT, HILSA, NALANDA

Present : Gurvinder Singh Malhotra,
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Camp Court, Hilsa, Nalanda.

CRIMINAL REVISION No. 154/2025

(Arising out of order dated 10.11.2025 passed by Id. Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Hilsa, Nalanda in Case No. 1107(M)/2025)

Dated, the 22nd day of May, 2026.

Pintu Kumar & Ors.

..... Revisionists

Versus

1. The State of Bihar,
2. Ravindra Kumar

..... Opposite Parties.

Lawyer for Revisionists : Sri Yugal Prasad, Ld. Advocate
Lawyer for opposite party (State): Sri Raja Ram Singh, Ld. Addl.P.P.
Lawyer for O.P. No. 2 : Sri Santosh Kumar Verma, Ld. Advocate

Order

1. This Criminal Revision has been preferred by the revisionists under the provision of Section 440 B.N.S.S. against the order dated 10.11.2025 passed by Ld. S.D.M., Hilsa in Case No. 1107(M)/2025 whereby and hereunder, Ld. S.D.M., Hilsa has initiated the proceeding u/s 126 B.N.S.S. against the revisionists and O.P. No. 2 and has directed them to file their show cause.

2. It is inter alia submitted on behalf of the revisionists that impugned order is improper, erroneous and not maintainable either in law and fact. It is further submitted that the impugned order dated 10.11.2025 passed by the Ld. S.D.M., Hilsa in Case No. 1107(M)/2025 is bad in law and it is fit to be set aside. That the order dated 10.11.2025 is full of conjectures and surmises. That dispute is regarding to kidnap the minor girl of revisionist Pintu Kumar. That accordingly to notice, case is pending between the parties vide Ekangarsarai P.S. Case No. 151/2025 therefore proceeding u/s 126 of the B.N.S.S. is fit to be dismissed. Accordingly, prayer has been made to set aside the impugned order.

3. On the other hand, on behalf of State, Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the contentions advanced by the revisionists.

District - Nalanda
IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, CAMP
COURT, HILSA, NALANDA


4. In this case O.P. No. 2 is appeared but has not filed rejoinder against revision petition.

5. Heard both sides and perused the record. From perusal of record, it appears that on the basis of police report of S.I., Ekangarsarai P.S., vide Non-F.I.R. No. 99/2025 dated 17.08.2025, Ld. S.D.M., Hilsa has initiated a proceeding u/s 126 B.N.S.S.. against both parties on 10.11.2025 and has issued notice against both sides to deposit file their show cause. Since the statutory period, i.e., 6 months, for the proceeding u/s 126 B.N.S.S., which has already been elapsed therefore, this criminal revision petition has become infructuous.

6. In the result, this criminal revision is hereby dismissed as infructuous. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to Ld. Court below forthwith and the order be uploaded on CIS immediately.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

(Dictated)



(Gurvinder Singh Malhotra)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Camp Court, Hilsa, Nalanda,
22.05.2026



(Gurvinder Singh Malhotra)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Camp Court, Hilsa, Nalanda,
22.05.2026