

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NALANDA AT BIHARSHARIF.

CRIMINAL REVISION No. 109 of 2025

Present : **Sushant Kumar,**
 Sessions Judge, Nalanda.
 Biharsharif.

Biharsharif, dated the 20th day of June, 2026.

Urmila Devi, W/o Mithilesh Das,
R/o village- Balchandra Bigha, P.S.- Ben, District- Nalanda.

.....**Petitioner/ Revisionist.**

Versus

1. The State of Bihar,
2. Rajesh Ravidas, S/o Sideshwar Ravidas,
R/o village- Balchandra Bigha, P.S.- Ben, District- Nalanda.

..... **Opposite Parties.**

Counsels:-

On behalf of Revisionist : Mr. Virendra Kumar Singh, Advocate.

On behalf of O.P. (State) : Additional Public prosecutor.

O R D E R

The present revision petition has been filed on behalf of revisionist against the order dated 17.06.2025 passed by the learned S.D.M., Rajgir, Nalanda in case No. 390/2025 under Sec. 126 B.N.S.S. whereby the proceeding under Sec. 126 B.N.S.S. has been initiated by the learned S.D.M. on police report (Non-FIR No. 21/2025) wherein it has been stated that on account of dispute relating to land and transaction of money, between the parties, tension has been prevailing between them. Vide the impugned order, member of second party (O.P. No. 2 herein) was ordered to file show cause as to why he should not be ordered to execute bond of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to maintain peace for one year and the petitioner being first party was also directed to file show cause. Hence, the revisionist is the aggrieved by the impugned order and preferred this revision petition.

On repeated calls no one turned up on behalf of revisionist. The learned Addl. P.P. on behalf of State is present. Heard.

Earlier, the revisionist was directed to file requisite for issuance of notice but the said order has not been complied by the revisionist.

P.T.O.

CRIMINAL REVISION No. 109 of 2025

Continued
20.06.2026

The present revision has been filed mainly on the grounds that the impugned order is bad and unsustainable in the eye of law and the proceeding started u/S 126 of the B.N.S.S. is uncalled with regard to the petitioner as there is no allegation of any overt-act against the revisionist giving apprehension of breach of public peace. There is no compliance of section 130 of the B.N.S.S.. Hence, she is seeking setting aside of the impugned order and proceeding initiated u/S 126 B.N.S.S..

However, the learned Addl. P.P. on behalf of the state supported the impugned order.

From the impugned order it appears that the Ld. SDM after being satisfied with the Non FIR report of the SHO of Ben P.S. recommending action u/S 126 of the B.N.S.S., has passed the impugned order. The Ld. Magistrate has acted within his jurisdiction and the impugned order is well discussed.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this court does not find any illegality and jurisdiction error in the impugned order dated 17.06.2025 passed in Case No. 390/2025 passed by the learned S.D.M., Rajgir, Nalanda. Accordingly, the present Cr. Revision is hereby **dismissed**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the learned S.D.M., Rajgir, Nalanda for information and needful.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd.....
(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge, Nalanda,
Biharsharif.