

NALANDA AT BIHAR SHARIF

A.B.P. No. 1478/ 2026

(Arising out of Giriyak(Pawapuri) P.S Case No. 287/2026)

Rahul Kumar and others V/s. State of Bihar

Sl. No.	Date of order or Proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
	27-07-2026	The anticipatory bail petition dated 18-07-2026 received to this Court on 21.07.2026 filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Rahul Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Giriyak(Pawapuri) P.S Case No. 287/2026, has been placed before me for order after hearing, a copy of it has already been supplied to the learned Addl. P.P. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Addl. P.P. for the State. The case of the prosecution as per the written report of the informant Ashok Prasad, in brief, is that the marriage of his daughter Rinki Kumari was solemnized with Rahul Kumar as per Hindu rites and rituals on 13.03.2026. Rinki Kumari was residing in a rented house and was working as G.N.M. in Bhagwan Mahavir Ayurvigyan Sansthan Hospital, Pawapuri, Nalanda. In the meantime, her husband Rahul Kumar, Father in law Musendra Prasad, Mother in law Usha Devi, Brother in law Bipin Kumar and Sister in law Priyanka Kumari started demanding Rs. 10 lakhs and a Bullet motorcycle as dowry and they were threatening to kill her for non-fulfilment of their demands. It has been further alleged that on 06.06.2026 at about 7:30 PM, the accused Rahul Kumar called the informant on phone and informed that his daughter has consumed poison and she is admitted in Bhagwan Mahavir Ayurvigyan Sansthan Hospital, Pawapuri, Nalanda. At about 01 O'clock in the night when the informant reached there, he saw that his daughter is lying dead. It has been alleged by the informant that all the accused persons have killed his daughter for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has not committed any offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased. It has been next submitted that the deceased was residing at Pawapuri and the petitioner is the student and was living at Patna and he used to visit there on every Saturdays and Sundays. On the date of occurrence he went at the residence of his wife at about 7:45 p.m and knocked the door then, the door was opened by the deceased and after opening, she fell down and lather was coming out from her mouth and she herself disclosed that she consumed the poison. As a matter of fact, the deceased was not happy with her marriage with him as she was in affair with another boy, namely, Ranjan Kumar much prior to her marriage. Further, the allegation of the demand of dowry and torture is baseless and false. On the aforesaid grounds, the prayer for Anticipatory bail is made. The learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Having gone through the case record, it appears that in this case the FIR has been registered against the petitioner and others u/s 80(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S. It transpires that the marriage of the deceased was sol-	

NALANDA AT BIHAR SHARIF

A.B.P. No. 1478/ 2026

(Arising out of Giriyak(Pawapuri) P.S Case No. 287/2026)

Rahul Kumar and others V/s. State of Bihar

Sl. No.	Date of order or Proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date								
1	2	3	4								
	<u>Contd.</u> 17-07-2026	emnized with the petitioner on 13.03.2026 and she was died on 06.06.2026 i.e. within 7 years of the marriage. Also, there is allegation against the petitioner to demand dowry of Rs. 10 lakhs and a Bullet motorcycle from his wife and this allegation has been supported by the witnesses vide para- 2 & 3 of the case diary. Further, it reflects from the post-mortem report as annexed with the case diary that ante-mortem injury of cut mark on right foot near ankle joint of the size of 2”x ½” was found. Also, it has been found that her stomach contained blackish fluid about 100 ml with garlic smell, mucosa of stomach was lightly congested. The opinion regarding the cause of death has been reserved. But this goes to show that her death occurred otherwise than under normal circumstances. Thus, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected. Dictated & corrected, SD/- (Pravin Kumar Singh) Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge Xth Nalanda at Bihar Sharif <table><tr><td>Date of Judgment/Order</td><td>27-07-2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Reserving Judgment/Order</td><td>-----</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Uploading</td><td>28-07-2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded by</td><td>Sneha Bharti</td></tr></table> Memo _____ Date _____ Copy of order forwarded to the Court of learned -----, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda for information and needful. (Pravin Kumar Singh) Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge Xth Nalanda at Bihar Sharif	Date of Judgment/Order	27-07-2026	Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-----	Date of Uploading	28-07-2026	Uploaded by	Sneha Bharti	
Date of Judgment/Order	27-07-2026										
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-----										
Date of Uploading	28-07-2026										
Uploaded by	Sneha Bharti										