

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA

Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1215/26

(Arising out of Deepnagar P.S Case No.- 65 of 2026)

Pappu Kumar V/s State of Bihar

<i>Date of order of proceeding</i>	<i>Order with the signature of the court</i>	<i>Office action taken with date</i>
<u>09.07.2026</u>	This anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely (1) Pappu Kumar, S/o- Late Mahendra Mistry, R/o Village – Parwalpur, P.S.- Parwalpur, Dist – Nalanda, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Deepnagar P.S. Case No. 65/2026, registered u/s 115(2), 126(2), 77, 294(2), 351(1), 356, 303(2), 308, 3(5) BNS and 67, 67(A), 66(E) of I.T. Act. Shri Anil Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that no bail application has previously been filed either before this Learned Court or before the Hon'ble High Court. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence whatsoever. It was contended that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are wholly false, baseless, and concocted, and that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It was further submitted that no such occurrence, as alleged in the F.I.R., ever took place. Learned counsel also contended that the petitioner has no concern with the complainant and has no role in the alleged occurrence. A bare perusal of the F.I.R. reveals that the essential ingredients of the alleged offences are not attracted against the petitioner, as there is no allegation of publishing or transmitting any obscene or sexually explicit electronic material, violating the privacy of the complainant, or snatching any ornaments or money from her. It was further submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent, as disclosed in paragraph 3 of the bail petition. Accordingly, prayer was made for enlarging the petitioner on bail. Learned P.P. on the other hand has opposed the prayer for bail of	

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA
Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1215/26
(Arising out of Deepnagar P.S Case No.- 65 of 2026)
Pappu Kumar V/s State of Bihar

Contd.. <u>09.07.2026</u>	petitioner. Having heard both sides and upon perusal of the case records, it appears that the present case has been instituted on the basis of a written complaint lodged by the informant Alpana Kumari, wherein she has alleged that she had been acquainted with the accused, Sonu Kumar, for several years, during which he obtained her objectionable photographs and videos. After her marriage with Rajeev Kumar in 2016, Sonu Kumar allegedly procured her mobile number, sent the said photographs and videos to her, and threatened to circulate them on social media to blackmail her. It was further alleged that Sonu Kumar extorted money from the complainant through Paytm and PhonePe and subsequently demanded ₹5,00,000/-. On her failure to pay, he allegedly threatened to send the photographs and videos to her husband and on his instructions, the complainant sold her gold and silver ornaments and handed over the money to Pappu @ Chhote at Parbalpur on 14.10.2025. It was further alleged that despite receiving the money, Sonu Kumar continued to blackmail her. On 26.10.2025, when the complainant visited the house of Sonu Kumar at Deepnagar, he allegedly demanded more money, stating that the photographs and videos were in the mobile phone of Rahul Kumar. Upon her refusal, Sonu Kumar, along with his family members, allegedly assaulted and abused her, threatened to make the photographs and videos viral, snatched her gold mangalsutra, and forcibly took ₹8,500/- from her purse. It is clear from the FIR that there is no specific or clear allegation against this petitioner. It is only stated that extortion money of Rs. 500000/- (Rupees Five Lakh) was handed to him on 14.10.2025. Para 42 of the case diary also reveals that the informant has not given any document for payment of Rs. 500000/- (Rupees Five Lakh) to this petitioner. He has	
--	--	--

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA
Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1215/26
(Arising out of Deepnagar P.S Case No.- 65 of 2026)
Pappu Kumar V/s State of Bihar

Contd.. <u>09.07.2026</u>	claimed to have clean antecedent and main accused Sonu Kumar, has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 07.07.2026 passed in B.P. no. 898 of 2026. Taking note of the aforesaid facts and circumstances available on record, I find it judicious to extend the privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, namely (1) Pappu Kumar. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the BNSS. (Dictated & Corrected) Sd/- Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif Memo No. _____, Dated:- _____. Copy of order forwarded to the Court of Ld. CJM, Nalanda at Biharsharif for information and needful. Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif	
--	---	--