

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA
Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1135/26
(Arising out of Laheri P.S Case No.- 451 of 2025)
Lalmohan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

<i>Date of order of proceeding</i>	<i>Order with the signature of the court</i>	<i>Office action taken with date</i>
<u>16.06.2026</u>	This anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely (1) Lalmohan Kumar, S/o- Yadunandan Prasad, R/o Village/Moh – Jodhan Bihar, P.S.- Belchhi, Dist – Patna, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 451/2025, registered u/s 108, 3(5) of BNS. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that an earlier anticipatory bail application bearing A.B.P. No. 1943/2025 was filed, which was dismissed for default. It was further submitted that, apart from the said application, no other anticipatory or regular bail application has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this Court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Learned counsel further submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are false and baseless and that he has committed no offence. It was contended that the petitioner had neither abetted, instigated, nor conspired in the alleged suicide of the deceased, Sujit Kumar, and that the act was committed voluntarily by the deceased. It was lastly submitted that co-accused Ronit Prasad @ Dhananjay Paswan has already been granted anticipatory bail in A.B.P. No. 2255/2025. It is also submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent, as disclosed in paragraph 3 of the bail petition. Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Learned P.P. on the other hand has opposed the prayer for bail of petitioner. Having heard both sides and upon perusal of the case records, it appears that the present case was instituted on the basis of the written	

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA

Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1135/26

(Arising out of Laheri P.S Case No.- 451 of 2025)

Lalmohan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

Contd.. 15.06.2026	statement of the informant, Umesh Kumar Das, an A.S.I. The prosecution case, in brief, is that while the informant was on night patrol duty, he received information that one Sujit Kumar had committed suicide by hanging himself in his rented house. Upon verification, the deceased was found dead and a suicide note was recovered from the place of occurrence. The suicide note allegedly names Srishti Raj @ Tinku, Lal Mohan Kumar, and Ronit Prasad @ Ghanshyam Paswan as being responsible for the death of the deceased. It is alleged that Srishti Raj had borrowed a sum of ₹15 lakhs from the deceased, Lal Mohan Kumar had taken ₹11 lakhs on the pretext of securing employment but failed to do so, and Ronit Prasad had allegedly cheated the deceased's parents of ₹60 lakhs on the false promise of providing a house/land. It is further alleged that due to the financial distress and pressure caused by the aforesaid acts of the accused persons, the deceased was compelled to take the extreme step of ending his life. The suicide note was seized and the dead body was sent for post-mortem examination. The allegation against this petitioner is of abetment of suicide. Co-accused Shristi Raj @ Sristi Raj @ Tinku and Ronit Prasad @ Dhananjay Paswan are facing similar allegation and have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court in Cri. Misc. No. 258 of 2026 and by the Court of ADJ-IV in A.B.P. No. 2255 of 2025, respectively. The rule of parity and judicial consistency dictates that where co-accused persons facing similar allegations arising from same transaction have been granted bail, an identical benefit should ordinarily be extended to similarly situated co-accused. As per the material available on record, the case of this accused petitioner is similar and there are no distinct aggravating factors.	
-------------------------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA
Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No.- 1135/26
(Arising out of Laheri P.S Case No.- 451 of 2025)
Lalmohan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

Contd.. 16.06.2026	Taking note of the aforesaid facts and circumstances available on record, I find it judicious to extend the privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, namely (1) Lalmohan Kumar. Accordingly, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of the BNSS. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned court concerned forthwith. (Dictated & Corrected) Sd/- Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif <table><tr><td>Date of Judgment/Order</td><td>16.06.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Reserving Judgment/Order</td><td>-</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Uploading</td><td>18.06.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded by</td><td>Kumar Shivam</td></tr></table> Memo No._____, Dated:-_____. Copy of order forwarded to the Court of Ld. C.J.M, Nalanda at Biharsharif for information and needful. Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif	Date of Judgment/Order	16.06.2026	Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-	Date of Uploading	18.06.2026	Uploaded by	Kumar Shivam	
Date of Judgment/Order	16.06.2026									
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-									
Date of Uploading	18.06.2026									
Uploaded by	Kumar Shivam									