

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, NALANDA AT
BIHARSHARIF**

Present:- Ankur Gupta, District & Addl. Sessions Judge – IIIrd Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No. 1019/2026

Santu Ravidas & Ors V/s State of Bihar

Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 213/2026

Sl No.	Date of Proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
	31.07.2026	Sri Arvind Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners/accused. Sri Amir Singh, Ld. Addl.P.P for the State. (1) This Anticipatory Bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, 1. Santu Ravidas @ Santu Kumar Bharti, S/o- Late Joginder Ravidas, R/o:- Village- Jamunapur Dih, P.O.- Pariyauna, P.S.- Noorsarai, District- Nalanda, in connection with Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 213/2026 registered U/S- 305(a), 331(4) B.N.S. <u>PROSECUTION CASE</u> (2) Prosecution case is based on written report of the informant Rameshwar Prasad Singh in which he had said that in his absence Ravinder Ravidas broke open lock of the informant's house and with the help of his associates Santu Ravidas and Arjun Chaudhary, he broke open the locker of Godrej Almirah and stole jewellery, garments and utensils. He got this information from the villagers and thereafter he filed the present case. <u>SUBMISSION OF THE COUNSEL OF THE PARTIES</u> (3) The learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for grant of the anticipatory bail and has submitted as under: ● That the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. ● He has not filed any anticipatory bail petition or regular bail earlier before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. ● The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. ● That the informant is not the eye-witness of the incidence. ● Petitioner is the Bataidar of informant and there was monetary dispute between them because of which this false case has been filed. ● That nothing was recovered from the possession of the petitioner. (4) On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition and has submitted that the offence is serious in	

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd, NALANDA AT
BIHARSHARIF**

Present:- Ankur Gupta, District & Addl. Sessions Judge – IIIrd Nalanda at Biharsharif.

A.B.P. No. 1019/2026

Santu Ravidas & Ors V/s State of Bihar

Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 213/2026

nature and, therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the benefit of anticipatory bail.

MATERIAL ON RECORD AND FINDINGS

(5) From the perusal of the record, it is evident that the informant is not eye-witness and he has named the accused on the basis of what villagers had told him. Likewise witnesses Kamla Devi, Sardar Ravidas and Ganauri Yadav, in paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 have also said that they came to know that the petitioner had committed theft in the house of the informant after breaking open his house. Thus, even these witnesses have given their statement on the basis of what was told to them. Nothing was recovered from the house of the petitioner. Thus, none of the witnesses had seen occurrence and have given their statements on the basis of what was told to them later. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

(6) Considering all these circumstances, the anticipatory bail petition is **allowed**. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from the date of this order.

Copy of order be sent to the Ld. Concerned Court.

Order be uploaded on CIS.

(Dictated & Corrected)

(Ankur Gupta)

District & Additional Sessions Judge-III
Nalanda, Bihar Sharif

Date of Judgment/Order	31.07.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	
Uploading date	01.08.2026
Uploaded by	Kanchan Kumari