

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA

Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

B.P. No.- 622/26

(Arising out of Sohsarai P.S Case No.- 86 of 2026)

Ranjan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

<i>Date of order of proceeding</i>	<i>Order with the signature of the court</i>	<i>Office action taken with date</i>
<u>24.06.2026</u>	This regular Bail application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner namely (1) Ranjan Kumar, S/o Ranjeet Kumar, R/o Village/Moh– Mogal Kuan, P.S.- Sohsarai, Dist – Nalanda, who is in custody since 28.03.2026 in connection with Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 86/2026, registered u/s 115(2), 126(2), 85, 109(1), 352 of BNS. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It was further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case due to village rivalry and political enmity. Learned counsel further contended that there is no iota of truth in the prosecution story, which is wholly false and concocted. In fact, there was a dispute between the husband and wife, during which a scuffle took place and the informant accidentally fell on the ground. Therefore, it was argued that there was no intention whatsoever to cause death, and as such, the offence under Section 109(1) BNS is not attracted in the facts and circumstances of the case. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner has remained in custody since 28.03.2026 and has no criminal antecedent, as stated in paragraph no. 03 of the bail petition. Accordingly, learned counsel prayed for enlarging the petitioner on bail. Learned P.P., on the other hand, opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. Having heard both sides and upon perusal of the case records, it appears that the present case was instituted on the basis of a written	

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA

Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

B.P. No.- 622/26

(Arising out of Sohsarai P.S Case No.- 86 of 2026)

Ranjan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

<u>Contd.</u> <u>24.06.2026</u>	complaint submitted by the informant, Puja Kumari, wherein she has alleged that on 27.03.2026 at about 1:30 P.M., her husband, namely Ranjan Kumar, started abusing her in filthy language. When she protested against such conduct, the accused allegedly brought a brick from a nearby lane and assaulted her on the head, causing a severe injury as a result of which she sustained a bleeding wound. The informant further alleged that the accused has been regularly subjecting her to physical assault and verbal abuse. She stated that she has three minor children and earns her livelihood by running an eatery (dhaba). Due to the continuous cruel behaviour of her husband, she is living under constant fear and apprehends that any untoward incident may occur with her at any time. Accordingly, she prayed for taking appropriate legal action against the accused. Upon perusal of the record, it appears that there is specific allegation against this petitioner of assaulting the informant (his wife) with brick and injuring her, which is quite serious. However, he is in custody since 28.03.2026 and charge sheet has already been filed after completion of the investigation. The injury report, in para 40 of the Case Diary, reveals simple injury and he has claimed to have clean antecedent. Taking note of the aforesaid facts along with circumstances available on record, I find it judicious to enlarge the petitioner namely (1) Ranjan Kumar on bail. Accordingly, he is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial	
--	---	--

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - Ist NALANDA

Present:- Namita Singh, District & Addl. Sessions Judge - Ist Nalanda at Biharsharif.

B.P. No.- 622/26

(Arising out of Sohsarai P.S Case No.- 86 of 2026)

Ranjan Kumar V/s State of Bihar

<u>Contd.</u> <u>24.06.2026</u>	court on following conditions:- • One of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner. • Considering the apprehension of the informant's wife, it is further directed that the petitioner shall neither contact the victim-wife nor attempt to visit her residence, workplace, or any other place she visits. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned court concerned forthwith. (Dictated & Corrected) Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif <table><tr><td>Date of Judgment/Order</td><td>24.06.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Reserving Judgment/Order</td><td>-</td></tr><tr><td>Date of Uploading</td><td>27.06.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded by</td><td>Kumar Shivam</td></tr></table> Memo No. _____, Dated:- _____. Copy of order forwarded to the Court of Ms. Amritansha, Nalanda at Biharsharif for information and needful. Addl. Sessions Judge-I Nalanda at Biharsharif	Date of Judgment/Order	24.06.2026	Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-	Date of Uploading	27.06.2026	Uploaded by	Kumar Shivam
Date of Judgment/Order	24.06.2026								
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-								
Date of Uploading	27.06.2026								
Uploaded by	Kumar Shivam								