

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NALANDA, BIHARSHARIF

A.B.P. No. 363 / 2026

Dilip Yadav and others Vs. The State of Bihar

17.03.2026

The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of petitioners, Dilip Yadav, Gauri Devi, Paro Devi, Prince Kumar, Pinki Devi, Malo Devi and Gautam Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Gokulpur P.S. Case No. 81/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 109(1), 303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. 2023.

Heard the learned counsel Sri Mahesh Singh Yadav for the petitioners as well as learned P.P. Sri Anuj Kumar on behalf of the State.

Learned lawyer of the petitioners has submitted that earlier, no bail petition either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of petitioners either before the Sessions Court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. That the petitioners are innocent, have not committed any offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case only to save his skin from counter case i.e., Gokulpur P.S. Case No. 82/2025 in which the informant of this case and others are accused. That both the parties are agnates (*gotiya*), next-door neighbor and only for irrigating field, there was some scuffle and this case has been lodged in wrong notion. That there is case and counter case between the parties. That no specific overt act has been alleged against the petitioners. He further submitted that both the parties have compromised the matter and they do not want to proceed the case further and hence, a joint compromise petition duly signed by both the parties as well as their respective counsels, has been filed before this Court and a copy of compromise petition is attached with this bail petition. Hence, the petitioners be granted anticipatory bail.

Learned P.P. opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners but he fairly conceded that the case has been compromised between the parties.

The prosecution case in brief, is that informant Kiran Devi lodged a case against the accused of Gokulpur P.S. Case No. 80/2025 for that on 24.12.2025 at 7:00 AM, Vijay Yadav, the brother-in-law of the informant was returning his house at village-Bhathiyar then Dilip Yadav, Chandan Kumar, Gautam Kumar, Vikash Kumar, Sudhir Yadav, Deepak Yadav, Prince Kumar, Paro Devi, Malo Devi, Pinki Devi, Gauri Devi, Santosh Yadav all resident of Village-Bhathiyar, P.S.-Gokulpur surrounded him and assaulted him with lathi and danda due to which he fell down on the ground and threatened to kill on the point of pistol and on noise, villagers assembled there and accused persons fled

P.T.O.

COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NALANDA, BIHARSHARIF

A.B.P. No. 363 / 2026

Dilip Yadav and others Vs. The State of Bihar

Continued


17.03.2026

away and further alleged that Santosh Yadav snatched away Rs. 1000/- from the pocket of Vijay Yadav, brother-in-law of the informant.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned P.P. for the State and perused the case record as well as the case diary from para 1 to 90. The allegation against the petitioners is that they along with others assaulted the brother-in-law (devar) of the informant namely Vijay Yadav and snatched Rs. 1000/- from his pocket. From perusal of case diary, it transpires that injury report is attached with the case diary and the injury found on the person of Vijay Yadav has been found to be simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. From perusal of case record, it transpires that the learned lawyer for the petitioners has filed a copy of compromise application that the case has been compromised between the parties.

The informant, Kiran Devi along with her learned counsel also appeared before the Court and said that the matter has been compromised between the parties. She further said that all the accused persons are her relatives so she does not want to continue the case, though some of the Sections in the F.I.R. is not compoundable but keeping in mind the factum of compromise and taking a lenient view, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and hence, the same is hereby **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail before the learned court below within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of order in the court concerned on furnishing bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties** of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s.482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Dictated & corrected)



(Gurvinder Singh Malhotra)

Principal Sessions Judge, Nalanda,
Biharsharif.

Date of Order	17.03.2026
Uploading Date	17.03.2026
Uploaded by	Rashmi Raje