

Sl. No.	Date of order or Proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
	06-03-2026	<p>The present petition for regular bail has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, (1) Bittu Kumar, (2) Praful Kumar and (3) Deepak Kumar @ Deepak Lal, who are in custody since 23.12.2025 in connection with Kalyanbigha P.S. Case No. 104/2025, u/s. 309(6) of the B.N.S.</p> <p>Prosecution story, in brief, is that the informant Sintu Kumar, son of Mohan Mahto, resident of Barah, Police station Kalyanbigha, District Nalanda said that on 21.12.2025, he alongwith his friend Deepak Kumar went to his sister's house at Badh Chondi on a two wheeler. He took Rs. 80,000(Eighty thousand rupees) from his sister and left for his home at village Barah. At 6:15 PM, three persons were standing with a Splendor motorcycle near Tinama More on the road going to village Barah. As soon as informant reached near Tinama More, they stopped the informant and one accused person tried to snatch money on the gun point. When informant opposed, then one of them started fighting with informant and the informant fell down after getting injured on head with the gun butt. After that two of them took away Rs. 80,000/- from the pocket of informant and also his Oppo company mobile and fled on splendour motorcycle towards village Balwapar. The four number of the motorcycle was 7592 which was seen written on the motorcycle. After that informant's friend Deepak Kumar called his brother at spot. Out of those three, two persons were about 20-25 years old and had height of 5 feet 7 inches and the boy who was driving the motor cycle was about 18 to 20 years old and had a height of 5 feet 2 inches. On these facts, Kalyanbigha P.S. Case No. 104/2025, dated 21.12.2025 was registered against three unknown persons under section 309(6) of the B.N.S.</p> <p>Heard the learned counsel Shri Srikant Kumar for petitioners as well as learned A.P.P. Shri Sunil Kumar Sinha on behalf of the State.</p> <p>It has been submitted by the learned counsel on behalf of petitioners that earlier no bail application has ever been moved either anticipatory bail or regular bail either before the Court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna. He further submitted that that petitioners are innocent and had committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics.</p> <p>The alleged prosecution story is totally false, fabricated and motivated. There is no iota of truth in it. The case was registered against unknown persons and then petitioners' name came in this case only on suspicion and on confessional statement of arrested persons by the Police. The matter has been compromised between both the parties and both the parties do not want to proceed the case. Informant and accused persons are co-village and next door neighbour. Neither any recovery of stolen property from physical possession of the</p>	

Sl. No.	Date of order or Proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date								
	<p><i>Contd.</i> 06-03-2026</p>	<p>petitioners nor they were arrested on the spot. Now stolen property has been received by the informant. Petitioners are men of means so no chance of absconding in future and are ready to abide all the condition imposed by the learned Court. Petitioners are ready to furnish the substantial Sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Petitioners have got no any criminal antecedents. He also said that they are in custody since 23.12.2025. Considering this, the bail petition of the accused petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>Learned A.P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition.</p> <p>Heard both the parties. Perused the case record and other relevant papers, it appears that petitioners are not named in the FIR. At paragraph nos 10 and 11 of the case diary, witnesses have supported the case of prosecution but did not prove specify allegation against these petitioners. Nothing was recovered from personal possession of these petitioners. There is general omnibus allegation against these petitioners. At paragraph no. 63 of the case diary, there is injury report of Sintu Kumar in which nature of injury is simple cause by hard and blunt substance. The name of petitioners come on confessional statement of the co-accused. There is no TIP against these petitioners. Above all, the matter has been compromised. They are in jail custody since 23.12.2025 which is more than two months.</p> <p>Considering the abovesaid facts and circumstances of this case, period of custody and nature of injury the bail petition of these accused-petitioners namely (1) Bittu Kumar, (2) Praful Kumar and (3) Deepak Kumar @ Deepak Lal stands allowed with submission of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Lower Court.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Dictated & corrected) Sd/- (Akhauri Abhishek Sahay) Addl. Sessions Judge 2nd Nalanda at Bihar Sharif</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="450 1532 959 1713"> <tr> <td>Date of Judgment/Order</td> <td>06-03-2026</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Date of Reserving Judgment/Order</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Date of Uploading</td> <td>07-03-2026</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Uploaded by</td> <td>Kundan</td> </tr> </table>	Date of Judgment/Order	06-03-2026	Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-	Date of Uploading	07-03-2026	Uploaded by	Kundan	
Date of Judgment/Order	06-03-2026										
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	-										
Date of Uploading	07-03-2026										
Uploaded by	Kundan										