

NDPS Case No. 1 of 2013

[Arising out of Bihar PS Case no. 237 of 2013]

State versus Dilip Kumar

Date of order of proceeding	Order with the signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.06.2026	Accused Dilip Kumar @ Dilip Sao has filed attendance. This case is posted for order u/s 232 CrPC today. A perusal of record reveals that the prosecution case originates from a self-statement of Mundrika Prasad, SI-cum-SHO, Bihar Police Station. According to the prosecution narrative, on 16.07.2013 at about 3:00 PM, the informant received confidential information from the Special Task Force (STF) that two vehicles—a silver-coloured Mahindra Marshall bearing registration number JH01P-1118 and a Honda City bearing registration number HR-26BC-8885—were coming from Asthawan towards Biharsharif laden with an illegal consignment of ganja. Upon receiving this intelligence, the informant registered a sanha, constituted a raiding team, and sent a formal request to the SDM, Biharsharif, to depute an Executive Magistrate. Consequently, Shri Bhola Prasad Singh, Executive Magistrate, was deputed to oversee the proceedings. The raiding team, along with the informant, set up a vehicle-checking point on the main road, roughly 15 gaj west of the Railway Crossing near a speed breaker. At approximately 4:20 PM, both suspected vehicles were spotted coming from the direction of Asthawan. Upon being signalled to stop, two occupants stepped out of the Honda City and attempted to flee, but were swiftly apprehended. Upon interrogation, they identified themselves as Manoj Kumar and	

NDPS Case No. 1 of 2013

[Arising out of Bihar PS Case no. 237 of 2013]

State versus Dilip Kumar

contd... 04.06.2026	Santosh Kumar. The two individuals sitting inside the Marshall vehicle identified themselves as Pawan Kumar and Santu Kumar. A subsequent search of the vehicles was conducted in the presence of the Executive Magistrate and two independent witnesses. The search yielded a highly substantial recovery: a specially welded iron box concealed in the ceiling of the silver Marshall contained 100 bundles of ganja, while the rear dicky (trunk) of the Honda City contained 57 bundles of ganja. Each bundle weighed approximately 1 kg and was tightly wrapped in plastic and cellophane tape. Furthermore, mobile phones were recovered from the possession of the apprehended accused persons. When questioned, the occupants failed to produce any valid documentation for the vehicles or the contraband, and offered no satisfactory explanation, stating instead that they were working under the instructions of the main accused, Dilip Sao @ Dilip Kumar. A seizure list was subsequently prepared on the spot in the presence of independent witnesses, and copies were provided to the accused after obtaining their signatures. Following the conclusion of the investigation, a formal charge-sheet was submitted against the accused Dilip Sao @ Dilip Kumar, and cognizance was taken accordingly. On 06.06.2015, charges were formally framed against the accused, to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Summonses were subsequently issued to the prosecution witnesses on 22.06.2015. Noting that the majority of the material witnesses were police	
--------------------------------------	--	--

NDPS Case No. 1 of 2013

[Arising out of Bihar PS Case no. 237 of 2013]

State versus Dilip Kumar

contd... 04.06.2026	personnel, a formal letter was also dispatched to the Superintendent of Police (SP), Nalanda, vide letter no. 233/17 dated 06.05.2017, requesting the production of the official witnesses before the Court to record their testimony. Despite the lapse of several years and repeated opportunities, reminders, and executive interventions, the prosecution failed to produce even a single witness to substantiate its claims. No oral or documentary evidence could be brought onto the record to link the accused, Dilip Sao @ Dilip Kumar, with the alleged recovery or ownership of the contraband and vehicles. Consequently, finding no utility in indefinitely protracting a trial devoid of evidence, the prosecution evidence was finally closed by order of this Court on 02.06.2026. Under Section 232 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, if, after considering the evidence for the prosecution, examining the accused, and hearing the prosecution and the defence on the point, the Judge considers that there is no evidence that the accused committed the offence, the Judge shall record an order of acquittal. In the present case, there is a total absence of legal evidence against the accused. Since the prosecution has failed to examine any witness to prove the initial search, seizure, compliance with mandatory provisions of the NDPS Act, or the complicity of the accused, there is absolutely no incriminating evidence on record that requires the accused to enter upon his defence under Section 233 CrPC. Under the said facts and circumstances as	
--------------------------------------	---	--

Ms. Namita Singh, Addl. Sessions Judge I, Biharsharif, Nalanda.

NDPS Case No. 1 of 2013

[Arising out of Bihar PS Case no. 237 of 2013]

State versus Dilip Kumar

contd... 04.06.2026	stated above, it is apparent that there is no evidence on record to show that the accused Dilip Sao @ Dilip Kumar committed the offence alleged. Accordingly, the accused Dilip Sao @ Dilip Kumar is hereby ACQUITTED of the charges under Section 414 of the IPC and Sections 20, 21, and 22 of the NDPS Act, pursuant to Section 232 of the CrPC. He is discharged from the liabilities of his bail bonds. Dictated & corrected by me: (Namita Singh) Add. Sess. Judge-I Biharsharif, Nalanda 04.06.2026	
--------------------------------------	---	--