

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NALANDA AT BIHARSHARIF
B.P. No. 62/2026
Sagar Dom Vs. The State of Bihar

01.04.2026

The present application for regular bail has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Sagar Dom, who is in judicial custody since 02.12.2025, in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 537/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(2), 317(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Heard Ms. Kumari Shiwani, the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Anuj Kumar, learned P.P. for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that earlier no bail petition either regular or anticipatory on behalf of the petitioner has been filed either before the Court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. That the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case merely on suspicion. That it is a case of recovery of one golden locket of *Bajrangbali*, worth Rs. 12,000/- near Smart Bazar. That the petitioner caught by the people who were assembled there merely on suspicion and handed over to the police. That there is violation of mandatory provision of search and seizure and it appears that seizure list was prepared at the P.S. That no incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. That the petitioner has one criminal antecedent bearing Laheri P.S. Case No. 217/2025 as mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition and he is in judicial custody since 02.12.2025. That the petitioner is ready to furnish P.R. bond as per the order of your honour. On these facts, she has prayed that the petitioner be granted bail.

Learned P.P. for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

The prosecution case, in brief based on the written information given by the informant, Sarita Devi is as follows:- the informant stated in his petition that on 02.12.2025 at 11:00 hr, when she along with her son, Divanshu Kumar, daughter, Neha Kumari and other family members was engaged in shopping at Smart Bazar, Biharsharif in the meantime one person snatched a gold locket from the neck of her son, Divanshu Kumar and trying to fled away but with the help of other people he caught and handed over to the police and on being asked he disclosed his name as Sagar Dom.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned P.P. for the State and perused the case record as well as the case diary from para 1 to 26. The allegation against the petitioner is that he has snatched the gold locket of *Bajrangbali* from the neck of the son of the informant. At para 2 of the case diary, there is statement of the informant who has fully supported the case of the prosecution. Witnesses at para 3 and 4 of the case diary have also supported the case of the prosecution. At para 5 of the case diary there is seizure list in which the police has seized the said locket from this petitioner. At par 21 of the case

P.T.O.

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, NALANDA AT BIHARSHARIF

B.P. No. 62/2026

Sagar Dom Vs. The State of Bihar


Continued

01.04.2026

diary there is mention of one criminal antecedent against this petitioner and this petitioner has already been charge-sheeted vide para 25 of the case and the petitioner is in custody since 02.12.2025. which is almost four months.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case and also keeping in mind that the case has already been charge-sheeted, this Court does not find any reason to prolong the incarceration of this petitioner. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and hence, the same is hereby **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties** of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below.

(Dictated & Corrected)



(Gurvinder Singh Malhotra)
Principal Sessions Judge,
Nalanda, Biharsharif

Date of Order	01.04.2026
Uploading Date	02.04.2026
Uploaded by	Annburna