

In the court of 13th Addl. Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 2567/2026

(Arising out of Dewariya P.S. Case No. 153/2026)

(U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 3(5) BNS)

- 1. Hareshwar Ram**, aged about 45 years, S/o- Narayan Ram,
 - 2. Golu Kumar**, aged about 20 years, S/o- Hareshwar Ram,
 - 3. Laddu Kumar @ Ritik Kumar**, aged about 24 years, S/o- Hareshwar Ram,
- All are R/o - Village – Hussepur Panchrukhiya, P.S. - Sahebganj,
District- Muzaffarpur.

.....**Petitioners.**

versus

State of Bihar.

.....**O.P.**

On behalf of Petitioner: - Sri Chetan Kumar, Ld. Counsel.

On behalf of Prosecution: - Sri Braj Mohan Pd Singh, Ld. Addl.P.P.

ORDER

31.07.2026.

1. The accused/petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail order arising out of Dewariya P.S. Case No. 153/2026 as they are apprehending their arrest.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case due to the informant and the school staff lodged the present FIR with false and fabricated allegations to protect themselves from the ensuing legal consequences. It is further submitted that the no anticipatory or regular bail petition on behalf of the petitioners have been filed either before the court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that petitioners have never been involved in any criminal activity and possess clean antecedents. It is further submitted that the dial 112 police personnel arrived at the spot, mediated the situation and the girl along with her family members returned home peacefully. No incident of violence took place as alleged. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners are ready to furnish sound sureties, if the petitioners are given concession of anticipatory bail order.
3. On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioners and submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are

grave and serious in nature and assaulting a teacher for correcting an individual is a crime and the petitioners do not deserve concession of anticipatory bail order.

Heard and perused the case record.

4. Briefly stated the prosecution story in short, alleged that the informant on 26.05.2026 at about 10:00 a.m. found a boy and a girl in an objectionable position within the school premises. Upon objection by the school staff, the students allegedly misbehaved and assaulted one of the teachers Md. Neyaz Taslim. Thereafter, the police were informed through dial 112 and after the arrival of the police, the parents of the students were called and counselled, and the matter was settled. It is further alleged that at about 12:35 p.m. while informant was leaving the school premises, the petitioners along with 10-12 unknown persons who were allegedly attacked the informant and injured him very badly. The petitioner no.- 3 Ritik Kumar is alleged to have assaulted the informant with a knife on his head causing a head injury and he fell unconscious, while petitioner no. 2 Golu Kumar attacked the informant's right leg with an iron rod, resulting in severe bleeding. Thereafter, he went to the hospital for treatment. It is also alleged that after the occurrence, the accused persons fled from the spot on a motorcycle and a bullet bearing reg. no. BR06-BT-1594.

5. From the perusal of contents of the written complaint, it is evident that there is specific allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners are named in FIR. The informant supported his case in para 10 of CD. The statement of witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution case at para no. 18, 19 & 23. Injury report (para no. 47 of C.D) of Vikash Chandra Ranjan shows following injuries:- (1) Lacerated wound of size 1½ " X ¼" over the left side of head. (2) Lacerated wound of 1" X ¼" over the left side of head (3) Complain of whole body pain. All injuries are caused by hard and blunt object. Though the nature of injury is simple but it has been caused on head which is vital organ. Moreover, all the petitioners Ritik @ Laddu Kumar, Hareshwar Ram and Golu Kumar had attacked the informant Vikash Ranjan suddenly because he along with other teachers had scolded petitioner Ritik @ Laddu Kumar for

being in compromising position with a girl named Deepshika within the school campus. Assaulting a teacher for rectifying a person within the school campus is considered a crime and it may lead to serious legal consequences. Investigation is still going on.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of accusation and offence, this court is of the view that the, petitioners do not deserve grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners are **rejected**.

Let the copy of the order be sent to the Ld. Court concerned.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Seema Kumari)
Addl. Sessions Judge-13th.
Muzaffarpur.
Dated: 31.07.2026