

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR**A.B.P. No. 2547/2026****Niraj Kumar Singh..... Petitioner.****Vs.****State of Bihar..... O.P.****O R D E R****13.07.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely, Niraj Kumar Singh, in connection with Cyber P.S. Muzaffarpur Case No. 86/2026, registered U/Ss 318(4), 316(2), 319(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 61(2) of the B.N.S., pending in the Ld. Court of Ms. Neha Nayyar, J.M.F.C., Court No. 01, Muzaffarpur (East).

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner, has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions had been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has got criminal history of one case. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. That the FIR is not based upon any independent investigation by any statutory authority and the petitioner has been implicated merely on the basis of suspicion. That the petitioner was working as a franchisee under the business model. That nothing has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. That entire case is based upon documentary and electronic evidence. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has got genuine apprehension of his arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioner side, prays for his release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the original record, including the F.I.R. and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 41. From perusal of record, it transpires that petitioner is a named accused in this case, which has been registered on the basis of written complaint of Assistant Manager (Security) of Busybees Logistics Solution Pvt. Ltd. It has been alleged that the petitioner, alongwith other co-accused persons, had committed embezzlement of money to the tune of Rs. 50,54,494/- in the shipments of total 1810 customers of the concerned company, through cyber fraud, by using IVR means and taking OTP from the customers. The petitioner

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 2547/2026

Niraj Kumar Singh..... Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

Continued
13.07.2026

has also been alleged to have indulged in data leakage of the customers by breaching cyber security and thereby causing huge financial losses to the concerned company and the customers. There is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner. Witnesses in the case diary, have also supported the allegations of the prosecution, against the petitioner. The case is at the stage of investigation, as per the available case diary.

Considering these facts and circumstances of the case, including nature of allegations and stage of the case, this court is not inclined to admit the petitioner to the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application of the accused petitioner, namely, **Niraj Kumar Singh**, stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd./-
1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur