

The court of 17th Distt. & Additional Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur

B. P. NO. 919 / 2026

In the matter Of :

1. Sanju Devi W/O Manoj Sahni

R/O Vill. - Narayanpur Bheriahi,

PS – Kanti, District – Muzaffarur

Petitioner

Vs

The State of Bihar

Respondent

Cri. Antecedent : NIL (As per para – 3 of bail application).

(In connection with Kanti P. S. Case No. 319/ 2025)

Counsel for the petitioner : Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Ld. Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent : Mr. Dharmendra Kr. Chaudhary, Ld. A. P. P.

ORDER

Dated : 27.06.2026

1. The above named petitioner seeks “Regular Bail” in connection with **Kanti P. S. Case No. 319/ 2025** for offences punishable U/S 103 read with section 3(5) of the BNS.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she is innocent and she had committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and been falsely been implicated in this case due to previous land dispute between informant side and petitioner side. The petitioner had not moved anticipatory or regular bail either before this court or before Hon'ble High Court.
3. The prosecution case in brief is that the informant Md. Ajalu stated that his late father Md. Shakur Mian, had received 3 dec. of land at Narayan Bheriya and was residing there in a hut. Hearby, Manoj Sahni and his family had also built a hut and were allegedly involved in selling liquor. Due to disputes, the informant's father had previously submitted a written complaint against Manoj Sahni and his family to the Circle Officer and police on 23.05.2025, after which they allegedly started threatening to kill the family. On 20.06.2025 at about 10:30 am, the informant came to know that his father, Md. Shakur Mian, had been found dead with a rope around his neck. The matter was reported to the local police, and the body was taken to SKMCH, Muzaffarpur. After the last rites, the informant

expressed suspicion that Manoj Sahni and his wife had murdered his father due to previous enmity and also feared danger to the family's safety. The petitioner is in custody since 11/05/2026. Hence, prayer was made for grant of bail.

4. Per contra, the Ld. A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the Ld. Court below have rightly rejected the prayer.
5. Rival contentions of the parties were heard and the record was perused. From perusal of the record it appears that co-accused husband was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna in Criminal Misc. No. 14052/2026 vide order dated 20.04.2026. Petitioner is a lady and stands on better footing. There is no legal evidence against the accused petitioner except the previous threat.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner do deserve the privilege of Bail and as such, prayer for bail is hereby allowed. The accused petitioner is directed to be released on execution of a bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to be satisfaction of the Ld. Magistrate. Petition disposed off accordingly. Let the copy of this order be communicated to the concerned Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-1st, Muzaffarpur (West) for information and needful.

Sd/-

Anil K. Thakur
17th Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge,