

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge — VIII

Muzaffarpur, Bihar

Kundan Kumar Vrs State of Bihar.

{B.P. No. 913/2026}

Page No. 1

Kundan Kumar, s/o Lakhindra Paswan, age 20 years..... Petitioner(s).
Vs.

The State of Bihar.

..... Opposite Party.

=====

For the Petitioner(s) : Sri Malav Parimal Chandra Hasan, Ld.
Advocate.

For the O.P. (State) : Sri Arun Kumar Singh, Ld. Addl. P.P

=====

ORDER

24.06.2026

1. The bail petition filed on behalf of the custody accused namely **Kundan Kumar**, who is in judicial custody since **16.04.2026** in connection with **Kanti P.S Case No. 202/2026, dated 09.04.2026** registered **u/s 96 and 140(3) of the B.N.S.** praying therein to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Copy of the same supplied to the State.
2. Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.
3. The prosecution case is that, on dated 09.04.2026 at morning 6:30 O'clock the daughter (namely Rajnandani Kumari, aged about 15 years) of the informant had gone from home out to go to school along with her younger sister. The younger daughter returned at home but Rajnandani did not return. The informant searched her to the best of personal level but found nothing. Thereafter, the informant searched the bed of her missing daughter, where she found a mobile no. 9155445634 she called on the mobile number but the number was ringed one time and switched off. And then, the informant got suspicious that the accused petitioner along with other co-accused are involved in the incident of missing of her daughter. And hence, on the basis of mere suspicion the petitioner has been made accused of the said offences.
4. Ld. counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is an innocent and has not committed no offence. The petitioner has been made accused on the basis of false, fabricated and concocted story by the informant of this case. There is criminal history against the petitioner. It is crystal clear that no case is made out against the petitioner. The accused petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.04.2026 without any rhyme and reasons. Therefore, on these grounds

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge – VIII

Muzaffarpur, Bihar

Kundan Kumar Vrs State of Bihar.

{B.P. No. 913/2026}

Page No. 2

petitioner prayed to enlarge him on bail.

5. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.
6. Heard both the parties. The present petitioner is in custody since 16.04.2026. The victim has recovered and in her statement before the Judicial Magistrate u/s 183 BNSS she had not supported the allegation of kidnapping against the petitioner. She herself left the house and meet the petitioner. She had not alleged any role of this petitioner in kidnapping her. The chargesheet had been filed and investigation is complete. No other recovery is to be made.
7. Hence, in view of alleged role of the petitioner, victim has been recovered, her statement has been recorded, charge-sheet has been filed, the present regular bail petition of the accused petitioner is hereby **allowed**. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand rupees only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned concerned court with condition to remain physically present till disposal of the case and co-operate in the trial.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Protima Parihar)

D&ASJ-VIII

Muzaffarpur

24.06.2026