

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 2441/2026 (BRMZ010090182026)
(Arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No. 296/2010)
Jitendra Rai @ Jitti Rai & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

ABP No.: 2441/2026
F.I.R. No. 296/2010
P.S. Name: Sadar
U/Section.: 447, 341, 323, 379, 386, 504, 506/34 IPC & Sec. 27 of the Arms Act.
Dated: 18.06.2026
Present: Sri Ajay Kumar, learned P. P. for the State.
Sri Navnit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners/accused.

1. Heard, learned P.P. for the State and the learned Counsel on behalf of the petitioners/accused 1. Jitendra Rai @ Jitti Rai and 2. Satyendra Rai both sons of Late Raghunath Rai.
2. The Petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Cr.P.C. apprehending their arrest in Sadar P.S. Case No. 296/2010, registered under sections 447, 341, 323, 379, 386, 504, 506/34 IPC & Sec. 27 of the Arms Act.
3. Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that no other bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously moved before this court or the Hon'ble High Court.
4. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that they are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute. The petitioners has got four criminal antecedents. There is case and counter case in this matter. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It is further submitted that informant and petitioners are close pattidars and land dispute is going on between them since long. Other placed co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail vide ABP No. 2350/2026 by this court and all the allegations are general and omnibus.
5. The case of informant is that on 31.10.2010, around 06:00 PM, the accused, 6 in number, armed with weapons, started ploughing his field and when he asked them not to do so, Jitendra Rai put the pistol on his head and Suresh Rai put his gamchha around the neck of informant and pushed him on the ground. It is further alleges that the accused Satyendra Rai along with other accused has taken away Rs. 2,500/-, a gold chain and a Titan watch from the informant.
6. The learned P.P. for the state opposed the present anticipatory bail petition.
7. Taking into account the nature of allegation against the petitioners and also the fact that other co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 2441/2026 (BRMZ010090182026)
(Arising out of Sadar P.S. Case No. 296/2010)
Jitendra Rai @ Jitti Rai & Anr. V/s State of Bihar

court vide ABP No. 2350/2026 and no apprehension is shown on part of the prosecution that the accused would flee from justice or commit a similar offence, if released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court concerned within four weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court concerned subject to following conditions:

- The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigating agency as well as in the trial, as and when required.
- The petitioners shall not commit an offence in future.
- The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
- The petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence-witness in any manner.

sd/-

[Shweta Kumari Singh]
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Muzaffarpur.
18.06.2026