

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE- II
(BAIL PETITION NO. 824 of 2026)

IN THE MATTER OF:

1. RANJEET BHAGAT, S/O- DAMODAR BHAGAT
R/O – VILL – BAHILWARA ROOPNATH, P.S.- SARAIYA,
DISTRICT – MUZAFFARPUR

..... PETITIONER

Vs

THE STATE OF BIHAR

.....OPPOSITE PARTY

(ARISING OUT OF SARAIYA P.S CASE NO. 786/2023)

U/S– 304(b),201,34 OF THE IPC

ORDER

01.07.2026 The present bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner, who is in custody in connection with Saraiya P.S. Case No. 786/2023 since 08.04.2026.

Heard, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has no criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that petitioner had filed his anticipatory bail petition vide A.B.P. No. 1325/2024 before the Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur on 08.04.2024 which got rejected. It is further submitted that there was some dispute between the husband and wife due to which the deceased Putul Kumari, who was domineering nature and committed suicide by hanging from ceiling fan in her room in absence of petitioner. It is further submitted that, when the informant came from Delhi, he demanded a huge amount of money and a share of the land of his deceased daughter, Putul Kumari from the petitioner. After the petitioner refused the demand five days after the incident, the informant purposely lodged this false case for dowry demand and torture under reference against the petitioner, his elderly parents, and his elder brother, namely Amarjeet Bhagat, all of whom have been residing separately from the petitioner for the last 10 years. Accordingly, learned Counsel has prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

That the prosecution case in short of the informant Kanhai Bhagat is that he solemnized the marriage of her daughter, Putul Kumari with Ranjeet Bhagat according to Hindu Rituals. A few months after the marriage, her husband Ranjeet Bhagat with other co-accused began physically assaulting and harassing her for dowry. Despite his repeated attempts to intervene and pacify them, their cruelty intensified. On 13.11.2023, the four accused murdered his daughter and secretly cremated her body to destroy evidence, without informing him or the police. Upon

information of this from villagers, he rushed back from Delhi to her matrimonial village. There, the accused verbally abused and threatened him, saying, “Get out of here! Do whatever he want, they have already burnt the body.” The delay in reporting this matter to the administration occurred because I spend time independently investigating the facts to uncover the truth.

Learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand has opposed the prayer.

Having considered the submissions of rival of the parties facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that petitioner named in FIR, and is accused of conspiring with other accused to murder the informant’s daughter and burn her dead body. There is sufficient evidence against the petitioner to involve in this occurrence in several paras of the case diary and he is the husband of the deceased. There is ample liability to save the dead body of his wife at the time of her death but he failed rather he along with his family members burned of her dead body without any legal action. So the act of the petitioner not tolerable at all.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, and the heinous nature of the crime, granting the applicant the benefit of regular bail does not appear to be justified. Hence, I am not inclined to grant privilege of the Regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of Bail and as such, prayer for bail is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge- 2nd
Muzaffarpur