

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

B.P. No. 765 of 2026

Arising out of Katra P.S. Case No. 425/2022

Under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act.

Vidhayak Kumar.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

On behalf of Petitioner : - Sri P.K. Mukhiya, Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of State : - Smt. Anju Rani, Ld. A.P.P.

01.06.2026

Case record produced before the Court. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused **Vidhayak Kumar**, who is **in custody since 09.04.2026** in connection with **Katra P.S. Case No. 425/2022 registered u/s. 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act**, moved. The copy of bail petition has already been served upon the Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor.

Case Record of Katra P.S. Case No. 425/2022, as called for, has been received and the same is attached with this record.

Heard both the sides.

The case of prosecution is in written report of one Samser Alam ASI of Katra P.S. He states that on 28.11.2022 in course of patrolling duty when he arrived near Budhan Chaudhary Basbari he found that four people were coming on motorcycle from Singhbari side. When the accused persons saw the police personnel they started running. The accused persons were chased and two were apprehended who identified themselves as Sanjay Kumar and Vidhayak Kumar. People gathered at the place and the persons apprehended were searched and from their possession one loaded pistol and .315 bore live cartridge were recovered. These two apprehended people also named the petitioner and said that they have run away. Hence, this case.

The Ld. Counsel of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner has not moved any bail application before the Court of Sessions or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna, earlier. That the petitioner has no any criminal antecedent. That the petitioner is in custody since 09.04.2026. That the prosecution case is completely false, concocted and the same does not appeal to normal reasoning. That the petitioner had gone out side of the state for his livelihood. That the petitioner is ready to abide by the conditions of the Ld. Court. That the local bailors are ready to stand as sureties on his behalf. With these submissions he prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of bail advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

B.P. No. 765 of 2026

Arising out of Katra P.S. Case No. 425/2022

Under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act.

Vidhayak Kumar.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

Contd.

01.06.2026

Perused the case record. The petitioner was earlier granted bail during investigation by Ld. Sessions Judge on 17.02.2023. As per para 03 of the bail petition the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Besides, the petitioner is in judicial custody since 09.04.2026.

Hence, considering the facts and circumstances of this case, materials available on record as well as the discussions made above this Court is of the opinion to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Vidhayak Kumar** is hereby **allowed** and he is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) only with two sureties of like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Court concerned.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Court concerned and this record be consigned to the Record Room as per Rule.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge -12th,
Muzaffarpur.