

In the court of 13th Addl. Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur.

Bail Petition No. 764/2026

(Arising out of Brahampura P.S. Case No. 226/2024)
(U/s 103(1),3(5) BNS & 27 Arms Act)

1. Amar Paswan @ Ajay Kumar,
S/o- Late Vijay Paswan @ Amar Kumar,
R/o- Village- Jhitkahiyan,
P.S. - Brahampura,
District - Muzaffarpur.

.....Petitioner.

versus

State of Bihar.

.....O.P.

On behalf of Petitioner:- Sri Vijay Kumar, Ld.Counsel.

On behalf of Prosecution:-Sri Braj Mohan Pd Singh, Ld. Addl. P.P

ORDER

06.07.2026.

1. The above named petitioner is seeking regular bail in Brahampura P.S. Case No. 226/2024, registered U/s 103(1),3(5) BNS & 27 Arms Act and he is in custody since 16.03.2026.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence. It is submitted that petitioner has not moved anticipatory or regular bail before this court or before Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier. It is further submitted that petitioner has several criminal antecedents as: (1) Kanti PS Case No.- 443/2025 (2) Kanti PS Case No.- 415/2025 (3) Kanti PS Case No.- 450/2024 (4) Brahampura PS Case No.- 161/2025 (5) Brahampura PS Case No.- 57/2026. It is submitted that petitioner has no connection with this case, the police arrested

the petitioner in Brahampura PS Case No.- 57/2026 and remanded in this case to harass and damaged his life. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner is ready to furnish sound sureties, if the petitioner is granted regular bail by this court.

3. On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious in nature, therefore, the petitioner does not deserve regular bail at this stage.

4. Briefly stated the prosecution story is that the informant Rohit Kumar Mandal gave a fardbayan before Police officer, Brahampura PS on 31.08.2024 at about 09:30 O'clock at SKMCH Campus Muzaffarpur stated that he received a call from her mother-in-law, wherein she told him that his father-in-law has called her and told that while he was relaxing beside the road after clearing out his truck at around 1:30 A.M. few unknown people came and shot him. The informant also alleged that when he called 112, the deceased was brought SKMCH Muzaffarpur by police for treatment, where he died during treatment. When they reached SKMCH Hospital at about 03:00 P.M. and saw that his father-in-law had been shot in the chest.

Heard and perused the case record.

5. From perusal of the case record as well as the case diary, it is evident that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R and fardbayan. The informant has supported the prosecution case in para no. 04 of case diary. The statement of witnesses in case diary para- 05 & 06 have supported the prosecution case. In para no. 56 of C.D. it is mentioned that the deputed spy in this case told that the petitioner Amar Kumar and co-accused Rahul Kumar was the members of Shashank Raj @ Jonshon Maurya's gang. The petitioner along with co-accused shot dead the truck driver at Chandni Chowk on 30-31/08/2024.

Further from perusal of 64 of C.D., it appears that the co-accused Jonshon Maurya @ Shashank in his confessional statement stated that the deceased was shot dead by the petitioner Amar Kumar. In para 112 of CD it is mentioned that Amar Kumar is also as Amar Paswan @ Ajay Kumar. As per inquest report of (para 2 of CD) the deceased (Dinesh Mandal) died due to gun shot injury on the chest. The post mortem report (para 32 of CD) of the deceased died due to hemorrhage and shock caused by fire arm.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, the instant regular bail petition of the petitioner deserves to be rejected at this stage, accordingly, the instant regular bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Let the copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Concerned court.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Seema Kumari)
Addl. Sessions Judge-13.
Muzaffarpur.
Dated: 06.07.2026