

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 2072/2026

Ranjeet Kumar & Anr.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

O R D E R

25.05.2026

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioners, namely, (1) Ranjeet Kumar and (2) Randhir Kumar, in connection with Pananpur Kariyat P.S. Case No. 28/2026, registered U/Ss 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2) (3), 352, 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the court no. 05 of Ld. J.M.F.C., Muzaffarpur (West). Informant has appeared before the court, alongwith power, today.

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioners, Ld. Counsel for the informant and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioners, has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions had been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioners have got no criminal history. That the petitioners are quite innocent, have not committed any offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. That entire allegations, as levelled against these petitioners are false and fabricated. That allegation for offence u/s 109 of the BNS is not attracted against these petitioners and there is land dispute between both the parties. It has further been submitted that the petitioners have got genuine apprehension of their arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioners side, prays for their release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state and Ld. Counsel for the informant, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the record, including the FIR and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 42. From perusal of the record, it transpires that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioners that they had assaulted on the head and bodies of the informant, by lathi, danda and rod, multiple times and in consequences thereof, the informant had sustained head injury, apart from other bodily injuries. Injury report also suggests, forehead injury, caused to the informant.

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 2072/2026

Ranjeet Kumar & Anr.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

Continued
25.05.2026

Witnesses in paras no. 07 & 18 of the case diary, have supported the allegations of the prosecution, against these petitioners. Case for bail of these petitioners does not stand on similar footing vis-a-vis other co-accused persons, particularly, in view of the facts mentioned in CD paras no. 07 & 18 of the case diary.

Considering these facts and circumstances of the case, including the specific allegation against these petitioner with regard to assault on a vital part of body, this court is of view that it is not a fit case for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail to these petitioners. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application of the accused petitioners, namely, **(1) Ranjeet Kumar and (2) Randhir Kumar**, stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd./-
1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur