

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 2065/2026(BRMZ01-0077612026)
(Arising out of Bochahan PS Case No. 102/2026)
Harendra Sah @ Lakhindra Sah & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar**

A.B.P. No.: 2065/2026
FIR No.: 102/2026
Name of P.S.- Bochahan
U/Section.: 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the BNS.
Dated: 24.07.2026
Present: Sri Ajay Kumar, learned P. P. for the State.
Sri Ramesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners/accused.

1. Heard, learned P. P. for the State and the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners/accused namely, 1. Harendra Sah @ Lakhindra Sah, S/o- Late Yogendra Sah and 2. Harishanker Sah, S/o- Ram Sogarath Sah.
2. The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail under section 482(2) of the BNSS, in connection with Bochahan PS Case No. 102/2026 registered under sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(3), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the BNS.
3. Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that no other bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously moved before this court or the Hon'ble High Court.
4. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that they are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire prosecution story is false and concocted and they have got criminal antecedent in two cases vide Bochahan PS Case No. 278/2024 and 78/2025.
5. The case of informant, is that, on 03.04.2026 around 03:30 PM, while she was getting constructed the boundary wall of her house, the accused ten in numbers came abusing asking her to withdraw her case, when the labourers working there interrupted, they were assaulted. The petitioner herein Ram Sogarath Sah is alleged to have misbehaved with her.
6. The Ld. PP for the State opposed the present anticipatory bail petition.
7. On perusal of the record, it is reflected that the prosecution was directed to produce case diary and injury report, if any, vide order dt. 18.05.2026 but despite several opportunities being granted, the injury report is not on record.

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
MUZAFFARPUR.
Civil Court, Muzaffarpur, Bihar - 842001.
A.B.P. No. 2065/2026(BRMZ01-0077612026)
(Arising out of Bochahan PS Case No. 102/2026)
Harendra Sah @ Lakhindra Sah & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar**

8. Considering the fact that other co-accused has already been granted the benefit of bail and vide order dt. 15.05.2026 and the fact that no apprehension is shown on part of the prosecution that the accused would flee from justice or commit a similar offence, if released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender in the court concerned within four weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigating agency as well as in the trial, as and when required.
- The petitioners shall not commit an offence in future.
- The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
- The petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence-witness in any manner.

sd/-

**[Shweta Kumari Singh]
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Muzaffarpur
24.07.2026**