

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPURA.B.P. No. 2062/2026**Ashok Kumar Rai @ Ashok Ray..... Petitioner.****Vs.****State of Bihar..... O.P.****O R D E R****18.06.2026**

This application has been filed, for grant of anticipatory bail, on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely, Ashok Kumar Rai @ Ashok Ray, in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 546/2020, registered U/Ss 363, 366(A), 452, 506 of the IPC, pending in the Ld. Court of Sri Anish Kumar Prabhat, J.M.F.C., Court No. 09, Muzaffarpur (West).

Heard arguments of Ld. Counsel, appearing for the accused petitioner and Ld. A.P.P., for the state.

Ld. Counsel for the accused petitioner has submitted that previously no anticipatory or regular bail petitions of any nature had been filed by the accused petitioner, either in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has got no criminal history. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case, due to local politics. That there is a matter of love affair and the victim had gone outside the home, at her own will. That during investigation, the victim has been recovered and her statement u/s 164 of the Cr.P.C., has been recorded. That there is contradiction between the victim's statement and statement of the informant. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has got genuine apprehension of his arrest in this case. Ld. counsel for the petitioner side, prays for his release on anticipatory bail.

Ld. A.P.P. for the state, opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the original record, including the FIR and case diary, from paras no. 01 to 325. From perusal of the record, it transpires that main allegation against the petitioner is that he had kidnapped the victim girl, relating to this case, in association with other co-accused persons. The victim girl has submitted in her statement, recorded u/s 164 of the Cr.P.C., after her recovery, that Ashok Rai (the petitioner), Saroj Rai and Rajkumar Paswan had kidnapped her on gun point and had forcibly taken her away, to Gaya railway station, on a Bolero vehicle. The victim girl has further submitted that the petitioner and other co-accused persons had thereafter taken her to Orisha and then to Kolkata and kept her there for one month. Thus, the victim girl has levelled direct and

IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR

A.B.P. No. 2062/2026

Ashok Kumar Rai @ Ashok Ray..... Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar..... O.P.

Continued

18.06.2026

specific allegation of her kidnapping, against the petitioner. As per the available case diary, case with respect to the petitioner is still at the stage of investigation.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances of the case, including nature of allegation and specific allegation against the petitioner in the victim's statement u/s 164 of the Cr.P.C., this court is of the view that it is not a fit case for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail, to the petitioner. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application of the accused petitioner, namely, **Ashok Kumar Rai @ Ashok Ray**, stands **rejected**.

(Dictated)

Sd./-

1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur