

**The court of District & Additional Sessions Judge - 2, Muzaffarpur
A.B.P. No. 1899/2026**

In the matter Of :

1. Avinandan Kumar, S/o- Kailash Prasad Ram
R/o Village- Banshichak, P.S.- Pirpainti, District- Bhagalpur.

---- Petitioner.

Vs

The State of Bihar

---- Prosecution.

(In connection with Piyar P.S Case No. 52/2026)

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri, Priya Ranjan, Ld. Advocate

Counsel for the State : Sri, Ram Narayan Rai, Ld. A. P. P.

O R D E R

Date : 18/05/2026

1. The above named petitioner seeks “Anticipatory Bail” in connection with **Piyar P.S Case No. 52/2026** for offences punishable u/ss 7 of P.C. Act & 61(2)(a) of the BNS and due to apprehension of his arrest in this case. Copy of the same to be send to Ld. Addl.P.P. on behalf of State.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case and had got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioner has not demanded any amount from the informant and he was not in any viral video or audio and there was no occasion to take any amount as bribe from the informant. It is further submitted that there is nothing on record to show that for doing what favour the petitioner demanded money and earlier co-accused Ram Kumar Rai has been granted anticipatory bail by his court vide ABP No. 1643/2026 order dated 24.04.2026. Hence, prayed for anticipatory bail.
3. On behalf of, the Ld. A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
4. That prosecution story of the informant Satrudhan Ram, stating therein that his elder brother, Sitaram was returning from Jarang after finishing his work when he was detain in route by the Excise Department, then the informant proceeded to his local police station Pier. There, an Additional S.H.O. Abhinandan Kumar confirmed that his brother was indeed in their custody. He demanded a sum of Rs. 20,000/-, however, as he possessed only Rs. 5,000/- at that time, he handed over the requested amount Additional S.H.O. Abhinandan Kumar through the intermediary, Ram Kumar Rai. He recorded the video while the money was being handed over. This incident took place approximately two years ago. He sent the video to a friend, but after sometime his mobile phone went missing.
5. Having considered the submission made by the rival of the parties and on perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner named in FIR and allegation against the petitioner only in the light of video recording, there is nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the said video recording was not produced before the court and the informant also not legally protest the case against the petitioner and other co-accused Ram Kumar Rai has been granted anticipatory bail by his court vide ABP No. 1643/2026 order dated

- 24.04.2026. Therefore, I am of the view that the petitioner is deserve to anticipatory bail. Therefore, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby **allowed**.
6. It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within 30 days from the receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each with satisfaction of the learned trial court.

Dictated

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge- 2nd
Muzaffarpur