

In the court of 13th District & Addl. Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1820/2026

(Arising out of Kathaiya PS Case No.- 76/2026)

1. **Ram Narayan Sahani**, aged about 50 years, S/o- Meghan Sahani
 2. **Satrudhan Kumar**, aged about 24 years, S/o- Ram Narayan Sahani
 3. **Mina Devi**, aged about 45 years, W/o- Ram Narain Sahani
- All are R/o - Village – Rampur Bheriyahi, P.S. - Kathaiya,
District- Muzaffarpur.
4. **Nandan Sahani**, aged about 25 years, S/o- Ram Pravesh Sahani
 5. **Bindu Devi**, aged about 24 years, W/o- Nandan Sahani
- Both are R/o- Vill.- Vishunpur Patti, PS-Sahebganj,
District- Muzaffarpur.Petitioners.

versus

State of Bihar.

.....O.P.

On behalf of Petitioner: - Sri Satya Deo Patel, Ld. Counsel.

On behalf of Prosecution: - Sri Braj Mohan Pd Singh, Ld. Addl.P.P.

ORDER

16.06.2026.

1. The accused/petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail order arising out of Kathaiya P.S. Case No. 76/2026 as they are apprehending their arrest.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. It is further submitted that petitioners have not moved for bail either anticipatory or regular before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioners are own pattidars and here in land dispute between and petitioner also lodged a case vide Kathaiya PS Case No.- 77/2026 against the informant families. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioners are ready to furnish sound sureties, if the petitioners is given concession of anticipatory bail order.
3. On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioners and submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are grave and serious in nature and the petitioners do not deserve concession of anticipatory bail order.
4. From perusal of case record as well as case diary, it appears that the present FIR has been lodged against eleven accused persons including present petitioners in respect of assaulting the informant and his family members due to land dispute. The informant supported his case in para 03 of CD. The statement of witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution case at

para no. 07 and 08. Injury reports (at para 30 of CD) of Rakesh Sahni shows that the nature of injuries are simple. Injury reports (at para 33 of CD) of Ram Naresh Sahni shows that the nature of injuries are simple. As per fardbayan, there is land dispute between both the parties. As per para 7 of bail petition, there is counter case (Kathaiya PS Case No.- 77/2026) against the informant families.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and discussion made herein above, this court is of the view that the petitioners have made out a case for grant of anticipatory bail order in their favour. Accordingly, the prayers of the petitioners are hereby allowed and the petitioners in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned court be released/admitted on bail subject to furnishing their bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sound and solvent sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court concerned.

6. This order shall be subject to further conditions that the: -

- (a) Accused persons to be physically present till framing of charge each and every date.
- (b) Accused persons not to indulge in similar offence & similar activity during the period of the bail.
- (c) Accused persons to cooperate with the trial not tamper with the evidence.
- (d) One of the bailor should be family member or co-villager.
- (e) The accused persons should surrender before trial court within four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Let the copy of the order be sent to the Ld. Court concerned.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Seema Kumari)

13th District & Addl. Sessions Judge

Muzaffarpur

Dated: 16.06.2026