

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-V, Muzaffarpur
Regular Bail Petition No. 648/2026
(Arising out of Sakra P. S. Case No. 193/2026)

In the matter of:

1. Md. Arman S/o Late Murtuja, aged about 21 yrs,
Resident of Village- Majhauilya, P. S.- Sakra, District - Muzaffarpur
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar : Opposite Party

Appearance(s)

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Deepak Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the Victim : Nitish Kumar, Advocate

Counsel for the State : Sadhusaran Prasad, Addl. PP.

Present: Suman Kumar Divakar
Bihar Superior Judicial Service

Order

07-05-2026

1. The Petitioner is praying for regular bail in connection with Sakra P. S. Case No. 193/2026 registered for the offences under Section 137(2), 87 of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short). The Petitioner has been in custody since 08.04.2026.
2. Briefly stated, the Informant namely Sunil Prasad has alleged that his nineteen years old daughter was kidnapped by the Petitioner. He has further raised apprehension that he (the Petitioner) may marry with her by keeping her in fear.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the victim is a major. She has every right to do what she likes for her personal life. The Petitioner has clean antecedent. The victim is living in the house of Petitioner now. In this backdrop, he prays for bail.
4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer so made on behalf of the Petitioner. The victim along with her counsel is present before the Court. The victim submits that she has no objection if the petitioner is enlarged on bail.

5. Heard the parties. Considered the submissions. Perused the material on record including case diary. It appears from the FIR as well as the statement made by the victim under Section 183 BNSS that she is 19 years old. She has not alleged any overt act on the part of the Petitioner in her statement. She has stated that she had gone to his maternal uncle house without informing anyone in her house. No incident has occurred with her. Even she has not taken the name of Petitioner in the said statement. The Petitioner has clean antecedent as claimed under Para '3' of the petition. In light of such material, this Court is inclined to enlarge the Petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the present petition is **allowed**.
6. It is ordered that the Petitioner namely Md. Arman would be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rupees 15,000/- of two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned.

(Suman Kumar Divakar)
Additional Sessions Judge-V
Muzaffarpur

Date of Judgement/Order	07.05.2026
Date of reserving Judgement/Order	07.05.2026
Uploading Date	08.05.2026
Uploaded by	Bambam Kumar Jha, Stenographer