

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1813 of 2026

Arising out of Complaint Case No. 1937/2023

Under Section 323, 341 & 498A of I.P.C.

Ashok Sahani.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

On behalf of Petitioner : - Sri Randhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of State : - Smt. Anju Rani, Ld. A.P.P.

18.07.2026

Case Record produced before the Court. Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Ashok Sahani** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Complaint Case No. 1937/2023 registered u/s. 323, 341 & 498A of I.P.C.**, is moved. The copy of the anticipatory bail petition has already been served upon the prosecution.

Attendance has been filed by the complainant. On call both the sides appeared before the Court.

Case of the complainant, in brief, as per her complaint petition complainant, after her marriage, was repeatedly assaulted and harassed by her husband and in-laws over an alleged unpaid dowry demand of Rs. 5,00,000/-. Despite a village settlement in which the husband admitted his misconduct, the abuse allegedly continued. Complainant was expelled from her matrimonial home on 25.08.2023, and later her husband allegedly married another woman on 29.09.2023 while the first marriage was still subsisting. The complainant claims that she is living in constant fear. Hence, this case has been filed.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. No bail petition, anticipatory or regular, has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before the Court of Session, Muzaffarpur or any Superior Court, earlier. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. That all the story as per complaint petition of the complainant is completely false, concocted and the same does not appeal to normal reasoning. That in fact the petitioner is always ready to keep her wife with full respect and dignity but the complainant does not want to live with the petitioner. That in fact after marriage the complainant always wants to live her maternal house. That the petitioner is ready to abide by the conditions as laid down u/s. 438(2) of Cr.P.C. That the local bailors are ready to stand as sureties on his behalf. With these submissions it has been prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

Heard both the sides and perused the case record.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-12th, Muzaffarpur

A.B.P. No. 1813 of 2026

Arising out of Complaint Case No. 1937/2023

Under Section 323, 341 & 498A of I.P.C.

Ashok Sahani.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Contd.

18.07.2026

Perused the case record. It would also be pertinent to mention here that petitioner is willing to keep his wife & complainant refusing to go with her husband. As per para 3 of the bail petition, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Hence, considering the facts and circumstances of this case, materials available on record as well as the discussions made above, this Court is inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Ashok Sahani** and, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court concerned within four weeks from the date of communication of this order, they are ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court concerned subject to the conditions as laid down u/s. 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. Court concerned and this record be consigned to the Record Room as per Rule

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Madhwendra Singh)

I/c Addl. Sessions Judge -12th,
Muzaffarpur.