

COURT OF 3rd DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR
{A.B.P. No. 1751/2026}
Shamshad Ansari & Ano. Vs State of Bihar

Page 1 of 3

1. Shamshad Ansari, aged about- 62 years; S/o- Late Israil Ansari;
2. Tamanna Khatoon, aged about- 36 years, W/o- Sajid Ansari.
Both R/o- Village- Kowat P.S.- Dawath, Distt.- Rohtas.....Petitioners.
Vs.
State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

ORDER

07.07.2026. Instant application for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of petitioners named above who apprehend their arrest in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 478/2025 registered for the offences punishable U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 127(2), 318(4), 319(2), 61(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard Mr. Atul Kumar, learned advocate for the petitioners and Ms. Sangita Sahi, learned Addl. P.P. for the State as well as Mr. Saket Kumar Tiwari, learned advocate for the informant.

Prosecution case, in brief, is that co-accused Sajid Ansari pretending him to be a driver of the District Magistrate, Patna and also an orphan forged relationship at first with informant and then with informant's daughter. Thereafter, Sajid Ansari solemnized "Nikah" with informant's daughter on 22.06.2022. Subsequently, he pretended to be promoted to the post of steno of District Magistrate and in the name of getting job for informant's son, he obtained Rs. 6,65,000/- from the informant and several lakhs of rupees from other persons as well in the name of getting job for them. Further case of the prosecution is that on 12.06.2025, co-accused Sajid Ansari after bolting informant's daughter inside the house fled away with her jewellerys and cash worth rupees ten lakhs. Prosecution case is also that the address in the Aadhar Card of co-accused Sajid Ansari turned to be fake in course of enquiry and informant came to know that co-accused Sajid Ansari is a renowned thug and he besides marrying with informant's daughter has fraudulently solemnized two other marriages.

Allegation against the petitioners is that they in conspiracy with co-accused Sajid Ansari were also involved in this occurrence and they during conversation with informant and informant's daughter used to represent them as sister and

COURT OF 3rd DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR
{A.B.P. No. 1751/2026}
Shamshad Ansari & Ano. Vs State of Bihar

Page 2 of 3

Contd..... brother-in-law of co-accused Sajid Ansari.

07.07.2026 Learned advocate for the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are quite innocent. They have no criminal antecedents and no bail petition has been filed earlier on their behalf before either this court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Allegation against them is totally false. Petitioner no. 2 Tamanna Khatoon married with Sajid Ansari in the year 2012 and gave birth to two kids who are at present about 18 years and 8 years of age. Actually, co-accused Sajid Ansari always tortured petitioner no. 2 Tamanna Khatoon and his father-in-law petitioner no. 1 Shamsad Ansari and therefore, petitioner no. 2 Tamanna Khatoon filed a case before the court of C.J.M., Bikramganj, Rohtas against Sajid Ansari and his family members. In the month of September, 2025, informant visited to the house of the petitioners and disclosed that his daughter Nagma Khatoon had married with Sajid Ansari who had fraudulently received money in the name of securing government job from informant and other villagers. Learned advocate has submitted further that there is no evidence against both the petitioners and so, they may be granted anticipatory bail.

In support of the above contention, learned advocate for the petitioners has filed photocopy of certified copy of complaint petition filed on behalf of petitioner no. 2 against co-accused Sajid Ansari and others and order dated 09.01.2026 by which the court has taken cognizance against co-accused Sajid Ansari.

Learned advocate for the informant as well as Addl. P.P. for the state has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Perused the certified copy of F.I.R., copy of documents filed on behalf of the petitioners, and copy of case diary.

After hearing learned advocates from both sides and perusing the documents mentioned above, this court finds that the main allegation is against co-accused Sajid Ansari and allegation against these petitioners is only that they used to call them sister and brother-in-law of co-accused Sajid Ansari over phone. There is no allegation in the F.I.R. and there is no material in the entire case diary from para- 1 to 39 that these petitioners ever contacted informant or his daughter

COURT OF 3rd DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, MUZAFFARPUR
{A.B.P. No. 1751/2026}
Shamshad Ansari & Ano. Vs State of Bihar

Page 3 of 3

Contd..... physically. Furthermore, the documents filed on behalf of the petitioners indicate
07.07.2026 that petitioner no. 2 prior to lodging of this case had lodged complaint against co-accused Sajid Ansari in which the court of S.D.J.M., Bikramganj, Rohtas has already taken cognizance.

So, considering the nature of allegation in the F.I.R. against the petitioners and other facts discussed above, this court is inclined to grant them anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender within 30 days of passing of this order, petitioners are directed to be released on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each subject to their filing undertaking that they shall within 30 days of acceptance of their bail bond appear before the investigating officer and give him or her their mobile numbers and also information that they might be having about co-accused Sajid Ansari and also inform to the trial court about giving of above information to the investigating officer and if they fail to comply with their undertaking, the state shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond before the trial court.

Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the court of AC.J.M. 1st, Muzaffarpur (West) for information & needful.

Dictated.
Sd/-
(Awadesh Kumar)
3rd Addl. Sessions Judge
Muzaffarpur.