

Sharad Chandra Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-20th,

Muzaffarpur

B.P. No. 615/2026

Deepak Singh @ Deepak Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

ORDER

23.05.2026 A petition for bail dated 24.04.2026 along with power and certified copy of documents has been filed on behalf of accused petitioner ***Deepak Singh @ Deepak Kumar*** who is languishing in judicial custody since 08.04.2026 in connection with Jaitpur P.S. Case No. 229/2025, dt. 23.12.2025, U/s 109/3(5) of BNS.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner Sri Dharmendu and Ld. Chief Prosecutor on behalf of state are present before the Court.

The case of prosecution in brief is that the informant was taking rest on his bed inside the room on 22.12.2025 during 20.00 to 20.20 hours, in the meantime, he heard sound of two fires. He saw from the window that his Pattidar who is nephew namely Deepak Singh was having in his hand and he was standing in front of his door. Then, the informant searched his room and found a pellet on the floor of the room and he also saw that north side window's glass was broken with pellet. Thereafter, he came out of his room and reached at his main gate and saw that a hole had appeared in his door due to fire and one bullet had strucked in the corner of door frame. He also saw a pellet lying there on the floor. In that room, informant's son Atharva Narayan, wife Sitambara Kumari and Bhabho (Sister-in-law) Neha Kumari were present. Outside the house one brass Khokha was found lying outside the stair. There is a dispute between the informant and his Pattidar Deepak Singh and Harimadhav Singh regarding 6 Kattha of land. That land was given by his elder brother Manoj Kumar Singh to Harimadhav Singh on lease of 30 years for opening I.T.I. college. The accused persons want to grab the said land in which the informant has invested crore of rupees. On account of this land dispute the accused persons have fired upon the informant and his family members with an intention to kill them.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute and dirty village politics. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. He has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. All the allegations are false and fabricated. The real fact is that the lease of 6 Kattha land was given to informant's brother Manoj Kumar Singh and the informant runs school beside ITI college and has grabbed more than 5 Kattha of land of the petitioner's. When the petitioner planted crops in that land the informant destroyed the crops with the help of students of school. In this regard petitioner Deepak Kumar has also gave a written application regarding illegally running school without any Legal order before D.E.O. Muzaffarpur on 25.05.2025 and before this too. This case has been brought only with a view to create pressure. No one has sustained any injury so section 109 of BNS is not attracted against the petitioner. The police has not seized the alleged empty cartridges from the place of occurrence, rather, those empty cartridges have been seized on the basis of

Sharad Chandra Kumar, District & Addl. Sessions Judge-20th,

Muzaffarpur

B.P. No. 615/2026

Deepak Singh @ Deepak Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

production by the informant and accordingly the production cum seizure list has been prepared. Petitioner is a man of means and there is no chance of his absconding. On these grounds, prayer for bail has been made.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the case record. From perusal of FIR it appears that the allegation upon the petitioner that he fired upon the house of informant. He was having weapon in his hand and pellets and empty cartridges were found from the floor. The informant, his wife and his son were present inside the room. The bullets entered into the room through windows and doors. Hole of the pellet size were found on windows and doors. Content of FIR itself reveals that there is land dispute between both the parties. The empty cartridges produced and production cum seizure list was prepared. Nobody has sustained the bullet injuries and the pistol was not pointed towards any specific person. Nothing has been mentioned in the case diary regarding the criminal antecedent of the petitioner; however, the petitioner has mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition that he has got no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 08.04.2026. Considering entire facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer of bail is hereby **allowed**.

Accordingly petitioner, **Deepak Singh @ Deepak Kumar** is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below on conditions that one of the bailors must be father/mother of the petitioner.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Distt. & Addl. Sessions Judge 20th,
Muzaffarpur.