

**The court of District & Additional Sessions Judge - 2, Muzaffarpur
A.B.P. No. 1753/2026**

In the matter Of :

1. Urmila Devi, W/o- Late Shankar Paswan
R/o Village- Rasoolpur, P.S.- Aurai, District- Muzaffarpur.

---- Petitioner.

Vs

The State of Bihar

---- Prosecution.

(In connection with Ahiyapur P.S Case No. 643/2025)

Counsel for the petitioner : Sri Ajay Kumar Chauhan, Ld. Advocate

Counsel for the State : Sri Ram Narayan Rai, Ld. A. P. P.

ORDER

Date : 08/07/2026

1. The above named petitioner seeks “Anticipatory Bail” in connection with **Ahiyapur P.S Case No. 643/2025** for offences punishable u/s 308(4),3(5) of the BNS and due to apprehension of her arrest in this case. Copy of the same has been served to Ld. Ad-
dl.P.P. on behalf of State.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. She has got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the informant is a land Mafia and has greedy eyes upon this land and also he is a stranger, not known to the petitioner. He has formed a group of anti social elements and on the points of Arms, he always dis-
possess the poor farmers and wants to oust the petitioner from the village. It is also further submitted that no such occurrence have taken place and the petitioner belongs to Schedule Caste and can not dare to demand Rs. 10 Lakh from anybody as Rangdari. Hence, prayed for anticipatory bail.
3. On behalf of, the Ld. A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
4. That prosecution story in brief of the informant Pradeep Sharma stating therein that a land bearing Khata No. 91, Khesra No. 43, Area 19 Dec. is near Ahiyapur P.S. belongs to his grand father. On 08.05.2025 at 10 AM, he received information that Urmila Devi was surreptitiously constructing an illegal hut on the said land in Ahiyapur. Upon arriving at the site, he found Urmila Devi, along with her son Karan Kumar and some anti-social elements, engaged in constructing the hut. They verbally abused and threatened him, stating that they would vacate the land only if he paid them Rs. 10,00,000/-.
5. Having considered the submission made by the rival of the parties and it appears that the petitioner named in FIR and on perusal of the record, it appears that the al-
legation against the petitioner is general and omnibus and there is no money was transacted with accordance to F.I.R. in favour of petitioner as unlawful gain and a land dispute occurred between the parties. Therefore, I am of the view that the peti-

tioner is deserve to anticipatory bail. Therefore, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby **allowed**.

- 6.** It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within 30 days from the receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each with satisfaction of the learned trial court.

Dictated

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge- 2nd
Muzaffarpur