

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge- 14th, Muzaffarpur

Presiding Officer : Dr. Kishor Kunal

A.B.P. No. 1745/2026

Satish Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

ORDER

19.05.2026 The anticipatory bail petition dated 24.04.2026, along with the power of attorney and certified copies of the relevant documents, has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner, namely Satish Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sakra PS Case No. 200 of 2026 dated 07.04.2026, registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 306/3(5) of BNS.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mukesh Kumar, and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State were present before the Court.

Prosecution Case: As per the prosecution case, in brief, as alleged in the written report submitted by Avinash Kumar, Security Officer of Busybees Logistics Solution Pvt. Ltd., the company is engaged in the business of delivering parcels to customers and, in case of return, sending the parcels back to the concerned company. The Branch In-charge of the Dholi Branch, namely Aditya Kumar, was entrusted with the responsibility of ensuring delivery of parcels through delivery boys and depositing the cash received against Cash on Delivery (COD) transactions into the bank, along with uploading the deposit receipts on the company portal. It is alleged that Aditya Kumar absconded after misappropriating an amount of Rs. 1.08 lakh belonging to the company. Thereafter, Guddu Paswan was deputed to manage the branch. On 30.01.2026, Aditya Kumar informed the company that he was in an inebriated condition, and when the HR department attempted to contact him, he too absconded.

It is further alleged that upon enquiry conducted by the Security Manager, Ravi Krishna, it was discovered that COD collections amounting to Rs. 29,000/- and 271 parcels worth approximately Rs. 3.8 lakh were unaccounted for. Subsequently, on 23.07.2026, an audit was conducted by the management regarding the activities of the delivery boys working under Aditya Kumar and Manager Ravi Krishna, during which it was found that 393 parcels worth approximately Rs. 3.18 lakh were missing from the branch. Upon interrogation, Aditya Kumar allegedly confessed that he was operating in collusion with a gang comprising ten delivery boys, namely Deepak Kumar, Abhishek Ranjan Verma, Abhay Kumar, Prince Kumar, Vikash Kumar, **Satish Kumar**, Rishi Ranjan, Manoranjan Kumar, Chandan Kumar, and Himanshu Kumar. It is alleged that all of them, in furtherance of a common intention, misappropriated high-value parcels and manipulated the records by showing the return of low-value cancelled parcels in their place. Several customers also lodged complaints stating that although the company portal reflected their parcels as returned, they had in fact not received the returned parcels. The accused persons are further alleged to have stolen a laptop and thereby caused wrongful loss amounting to approximately Rs. 13 lakh to the company.

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge- 14th, Muzaffarpur

Presiding Officer : Dr. Kishor Kunal

A.B.P. No. 1745/2026

Satish Kumar Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Bihar.....O.P.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case only to harass, humiliate and extort the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and has not previously filed any application for bail before any court. It is also submitted that entire allegations as alleged in this case against the petitioner are false and fabricated and petitioner never sold any parcel to anyone. It was also submitted that the petitioner had left the job on 07.02.2026 and the FIR was lodged after two months of closing his ID. It is further submitted that the petitioner is man of means and as such there is likelihood of his absconding and reliable local sureties are available, and on these grounds, prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the anticipatory bail application, submitting that the allegations are serious in nature and, therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Heard the submissions of both parties and perused the materials available on record. It appears that the petitioner is the main accused of the case and the allegation made against him is very serious in nature. The investigation is ongoing.

Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

Copy of order be sent to the Ld. Concerned Court.

Order be uploaded on the CIS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge 14th,
Muzaffarpur.